

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI**

**TRICIA L SCHALLER  
6110 APACHE CT  
DUBUQUE IA 52002**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**Appeal Number: 06A-UI-07981-DT  
OC: 06/25/06 R: 04  
Claimant: Appellant (4)**

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tricia L. Schaller (claimant) appealed a representative's August 4, 2006 decision (reference 02) that concluded she had been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits. A hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record for a telephone hearing to be held on August 24, 2006 in conjunction with one related appeal, 06A-UI-07980-DT. At the time for the hearing, but in lieu of proceeding with the hearing, the administrative law judge determined, and the claimant concurred, that no hearing was necessary and a decision could be made on the record. Based on a review of the information in the administrative file and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

A representative issued a decision dated August 3, 2006 (reference 01) that concluded the claimant was disqualified from receiving benefits after a separation from employment from Crest Foam Corporation (employer). The claimant timely appealed that decision and in the concurrently issued decision in appeal 06A-UI-07980-DT, that decision has been modified to remove the disqualification.

The overpayment decision was issued in this case as a result of the August 3, 2006 (reference 01) disqualification decision.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits of \$204.00 for the week ending July 1, 2006.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not overpaid benefits due to the disqualification decision issued on August 3, 2006 (reference 01), which has now been modified to remove the disqualification.

DECISION:

The representative's August 4, 2006 decision (reference 02) is reversed. The claimant is not overpaid benefits.

ld/kjw