IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DAKOTA R SLITER Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-19815-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HY-VEE INC Employer

> OC: 06/14/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code §96.6(2) – Timely Appeal lowa Code §96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct lowa Code §96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Dakota R Sliter, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the October 1, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance (UI) decision that denied benefits based on a June 5, 2019 voluntary quit. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 4, 2021. Ms. Sliter participated and testified. Lisa Sliter, Ms. Sliter's mother, testified in favor of Ms. Sliter. The employer's representative, Kii Elliott, registered for the hearing, and initially participated in the hearing. The employer's representative provided a telephone number for an employer's witness. The administrative law judge called the employer's witness. The employer's representative law judge's call. The employer's representative disconnected from the call. The employer's witness did not call in while the record was open. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Is Ms. Sliter's appeal filed on time? Did Ms. Sliter voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Ms. Sliter at the correct address on October 1, 2020. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by lowa Workforce Development (IWD) Appeals Section by October 11, 2020. If the date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. October 11, 2020 was a Sunday; therefore, the deadline was extended to Monday, October 12, 2020.

Ms. Sliter received the decision in the mail. She did not appeal the decision. IWD issued three additional decisions finding Ms. Sliter was overpaid REGULAR UI benefits, Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits, and Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC). Ms. Sliter received those decisions. Ms. Sliter filed an appeal via fax on September 7, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on September 7, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes Ms. Sliter's appeal of the reference 01 decision was not filed on time.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

Ms. Sliter received the decision in the mail before the deadline and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Ms. Sliter's delay in filing her appeal was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay in filing her appeal before the deadline. Ms. Sliter's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issue in this matter.

Even though Ms. Sliter is not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits under state law, she may be eligible for federally funded unemployment insurance benefits under the

Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("Cares Act"), Public Law 116-136. Section 2102 of the CARES Act creates a new temporary federal program called Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) that in general provides up to 39 weeks of unemployment benefits. An individual receiving PUA benefits may also receive up to the \$600 weekly benefit amount under the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) program if they are eligible.

DECISION:

Ms. Sliter's appeal of the reference 01 decision was not filed on time. The October 1, 2020 (reference 01) decision is affirmed.

Kenzel Bre

Daniel Zeno Administrative Law Judge Iowa Workforce Development Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

December 6, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/scn

NOTE TO MS. SLITER:

- This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits under state law. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision.
- If you were unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19, you <u>may</u> qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits. You must apply for PUA benefits to determine your eligibility under the program. For more information on how to apply for PUA, go to https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.
- If you do not apply for and are not approved for PUA, you may be required to repay the benefits you've received so far.
- Governor Reynolds ended lowa's participation in federal pandemic-related unemployment benefit programs, including the PUA program, effective June 12, 2021. You can still apply for PUA benefits at the link above if your initial claim for benefits was filed before June 12, 2021. Your initial claim for benefits was filed on June 14, 2020.