IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

HEDAYAT KHALDAR SAGHIR

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-03866-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SCHENKER LOGISTICS INC

Employer

OC: 08-31-08

Claimant: Respondent (4)

Iowa Code Chapter 95 – Requalification Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the March 3, 2009, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on April 6, 2009. The claimant did participate through the partial interpretation of Zakria Hamidi. The employer did participate through Matt Crain, an employee with ADP participated. Department's Exhibit D-1 was received. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether employer's protest is timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant's notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on September 4, 2009. The employer did protest on September 11, 2009. The claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation from the employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

The administrative law judge concludes that the employer filed its protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law because they have submitted evidence that the protest was timely filed. This is sufficient evidence of intent to protest any potential charges to their account. The administrative law judge further concludes that the claimant has

requalified for benefits since the separation from this employer. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the account of the employer shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The March 3, 2009, reference 03, decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The employer has filed a timely protest, and the claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of the employer shall not be charged.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs