

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

---

**MYRNA LOY HOWARD**  
Claimant

**IMKO ENTERPRISES INC**  
Employer

**APPEAL 20A-UI-12653-ED-T**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**  
**DECISION**

**OC: 06/07/20**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

---

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting  
Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct  
Iowa Code 96.5(1)j – Temporary Employment

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The claimant filed an appeal from the October 7, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntary quit from employment by failing to request more work within three working days of the completion of her last work assignment. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 30, 2020. The claimant, Myrna Loy Howard, participated personally. Ms. Howard was represented by attorney Glenn Metcalf. The employer, IMKO Enterprises Inc, was represented by Dan Speir. Witnesses Janette Arreola and Daniel Schoenherr testified on behalf of the employer. No exhibits were admitted.

**ISSUES:**

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?  
Did the claimant voluntarily quit by not reporting for an additional work assignment within three business days of the end of the last assignment?  
Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm. Claimant began her employment on April 9, 2013. Her most recent assignment lasted from April 9, 2013 until March 16, 2020. After the assignment ended, the claimant failed to report to the employer within three working days and request further assignment as required by written policy. Claimant testified that she took it upon herself to look for another position that would best fit her needs. Claimant chose not to stop in to the employer's office to request additional work. The employer testified that it had several assignments that would have fit claimant's needs, however these assignments opportunities were not discussed with claimant because she never stopped into the office to request additional work.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)(j) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

(2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

(3) For the purposes of this paragraph:

(a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their workforce during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of

Iowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of Iowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. The plain language of the statute allows benefits for a claimant “who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an assignment and who seeks reassignment.”

In this case, the employer had notice of the claimant’s availability because it notified her of the end of the assignment on March 19, 2020 but she did not request another assignment. Instead she began looking for work on her own, outside of the employer.

The decision in this case rests, at least in part, on the credibility of the witnesses. It is the duty of the administrative law judge as the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness’s testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.* In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other believable evidence; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.*


After assessing the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, reviewing the exhibits submitted by the parties, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using her own common sense and experience, the administrative law judge finds the employer’s version of events to be more credible than the claimant’s recollection of those events.

As such, the claimant failed to comply with Iowa Code section 96.5(1)j and she voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. The separation is disqualifying. Benefits are denied.

**Note to Claimant:** This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>

**DECISION:**

The October 7, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant's separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld in regards to this employer until such time as claimant is deemed eligible.

A rectangular box containing a handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emily Drenkow Carr".

---

Emily Drenkow Carr  
Administrative Law Judge

December 11, 2020  
Decision Dated and Mailed

ed/mh