IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TANYA A MARKER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-07925-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WAL-MART STORES INC

Employer

OC: 08-03-08 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the August 25, 2008, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on September 17, 2008. The claimant did not participate. The employer did participate through Deb McIntyre, Assistant Manager, and Brett McLean, Assistant Manager. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit her employment without good cause attributable to the employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as an overnight processor, full-time, beginning May 23, 2007, through July 26, 2008, when she voluntarily guit.

The claimant was told when she was hired for the overnight processor job that she would, on occasion, be required to use a pallet jack to bring pallets of boxes to the floor of the store for stocking. On some occasions, other employees would bring the pallets to her area for her. The claimant was given a job description, which she signed off on, indicating that part of her job responsibilities included lifting up to 50 pounds and brining the pallets to the floor of her area in the store. On the evening of July 26, 2008, the claimant became upset because she was required to use a pallet jack to bring boxes to her area for re-stocking. Because she no longer wanted to be required to use the pallet jack and bring the boxes to her area for restocking, the claimant left a note indicating she quit. The claimant had no work restrictions at the time that prevented her from using the pallet jack to move boxes or from lifting boxes.

Claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of August 3, 2008.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(27) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(27) The claimant left rather than perform the assigned work as instructed.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2).

The claimant was told when she accepted the position as an overnight processor that she would be required to lift boxes and use a pallet jack to move pallets and boxes to the floor. The claimant did not have any lifting restrictions that prevented her from performing her job duties. She determined that she did not want to perform her assigned duty of moving the boxes to the floor with the use of the pallet jack, so she voluntarily quit. Her quitting was not without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual,

benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. lowa Code § 96.3(7). In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter of determining whether the overpayment should be recovered under lowa Code § 96.3(7) b is remanded to the Agency.

DECISION:

The August 25, 2008, reference 01, decision is reversed. Claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. Claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$288.00.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/kjw