IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

STACEY A BRAND

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-07047-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AVENTURE STAFFING & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES LLC

Employer

OC: 08/23/09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-3-a - Work Refusal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated May 3, 2010, reference 05, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits due to a refusal to accept work. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on June 20, 2010. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Cyd Hall, Office Manager and Hollie Delagarza, Medical Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant refused to accept a suitable offer of work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Employer made an offer of work to the claimant on February 12, 2010. That offer included the following terms: RN Nursing work at \$30.00 per hour for a minimum of 32 hours per week. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$999.00. The offer was made in the 25th week of unemployment. Claimant refused the offer of work because claimant did not want to do nursing floor work. Claimant had been working most recently as an instructor. Claimant also did not want to work inconsistent shifts. Claimant would be offered varied shifts like days, nights and evenings. Claimant also did not want to drive the 70-mile round trip in the winter months. Claimant was uncomfortable with the commute. Claimant has always worked close to her job and usually drove no more than 15 minutes.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work. The work required that claimant hold a Registered Nurse status. Claimant was clearly qualified to work a job as a registered nurse. The job paid far more than claimant's average weekly wage percentage at 25 weeks. Thus, the pay was suitable. The distance to the job was a bit far but not so far as to make the work unsuitable based on the rate of pay. The shifts worked only varied in that claimant might face assignment occasionally to

night work. None of the reasons claimant gave for refusing the job are sufficient to make the work unsuitable. Claimant did refuse suitable work. Benefits withheld.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

871 IAC 24.24(1)a provides:

- (1) Bona fide offer of work.
- a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the

Appeal No. 10A-UI-07047-MT

871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

sufficient as a personal contact.

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated May 3, 2010, reference 05 is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
mdm/pjs	