IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

WALTER PEREZ

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 14A-UI-09565-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 08/03/14

Claimant: Appellant (2)

871 IAC 24.2(1)g – Retroactive Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the September 8, 2014, reference 04, decision that denied his request for retroactive benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on October 6, 2014. The claimant participated in the hearing.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's request for retroactive benefits should be granted.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant filed a claim for benefits effective August 3, 2014. He did not call to file a claim by voice response for the four-week period ending August 30, 2014, and did not inquire about the claim status with Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) until the week beginning September 1, 2014. He has filed weekly claims and has received regular weekly benefits since the week ending September 6, 2014.

The claimant and a co-worker were permanently laid off. The claimant's co-worker had been through layoffs in the past and advised the claimant he would not receive benefits for a period of time immediately following the layoff and the opening of his claim. Relying on that incorrect information, the claimant did not make a weekly claim for benefits until the week ending September 6, 2014.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's request for retroactive benefits is allowed.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)h(1), (2) and (3) provide:

Procedures for workers desiring to file a claim for benefits for unemployment insurance.

- (1) Section 96.6 of the employment security law of lowa states that claims for benefits shall be made in accordance with such rules as the department prescribes. The department of workforce development accordingly prescribes:
- h. Effective starting date for the benefit year.
- (1) Filing for benefits shall be effective as of Sunday of the current calendar week in which, subsequent to the individual's separation from work, an individual reports in person at a workforce development center and registers for work in accordance with paragraph "a" of this rule.
- (2) The claim may be backdated prior to the first day of the calendar week in which the claimant does report and file a claim for the following reasons:

Backdated prior to the week in which the individual reported if the individual presents to the department sufficient grounds to justify or excuse the delay;

There is scheduled filing in the following week because of a mass layoff;

The failure of the department to recognize the expiration of the claimant's previous benefit year;

The individual is given incorrect advice by a workforce development employee;

The claimant filed an interstate claim against another state which has been determined as ineligible;

Failure on the part of the employer to comply with the provisions of the law or of these rules:

Coercion or intimidation exercised by the employer to prevent the prompt filing of such claim:

Failure of the department to discharge its responsibilities promptly in connection with such claim, the department shall extend the period during which such claim may be filed to a date which shall be not less than one week after the individual has received appropriate notice of potential rights to benefits, provided, that no such claim may be filed after the 13 weeks subsequent to the end of the benefit year during which the week of unemployment occurred. In the event continuous jurisdiction is exercised under the provisions of the law, the department may, in its discretion, extend the period during which claims, with respect to week of unemployment affected by such redetermination, may be filed.

(3) When the benefit year expires on any day but Saturday, the effective date of the new claim is the Sunday of the current week in which the claim is filed even though it may overlap into the old benefit year up to six days. However, backdating shall not be allowed at the change of the calendar quarter if the backdating would cause an overlap of the same quarter in two base periods. When the overlap situation occurs, the effective date of the new claim may be postdated up to six days. If the claimant has benefits remaining on the old claim, the claimant may be eligible for benefits for that period by extending the old benefit year up to six days.

The claimant correctly filed his claim but was then given incorrect information about filing a weekly claim and when he would begin to receive benefits following his layoff. Once he became concerned about the situation he sought further information from the Department and began filing his weekly claims. The claimant had never filed for unemployment benefits before and

Appeal No. 14A-UI-09565-ET

because he was relying on incorrect information from another laid-off co-worker, he mistakenly failed to file a weekly claim for the four weeks ending August 30, 2014. Under these circumstances, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's request for retroactive benefits shall be allowed.

DECISION:

The	September 8,	2014,	referen	ice 04,	decision	is	reversed	. The	claimant's	reques	st for
retro	active benefits	is allow	ed. Be	nefits a	are allowe	d fo	r the four	weeks e	ending Augi	ust 30,	2014,
provi	ded the claima	nt is oth	nerwise	eligible	€.						

Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/pjs