BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

| JESSICA L DURHAM | : : : HEARING NUMBER : 08B-UI-03980 |
|---------------------|--|
| Claimant, | : |
| and | : EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD : DECISION |
| MIDWEST ACADEMY LLC | : BESIGION |
| Employer. | |

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within 30 days of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-1

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. Two members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

| John A. Peno | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Elizabeth I Scient | |
| Elizabeth L. Seiser | |

DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

| I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board. After careful review |
|---|
| of the record, I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. I think the fact that she |
| essentially quit because she didn't like the job that would be a voluntary quit not attributable to the |
| employer so I respectfully disagree with the ALJ ruling. |

| Monique F. Kuester | |
|--------------------|--|

The claimant submitted a written argument to the Employment Appeal Board. The Employment Appeal Board reviewed the argument. A portion of the argument consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the argument and additional evidence (document) were considered, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

| John A. Peno | |
|---------------------|--|
| Elizabeth L. Seiser | |
| Monique F. Kuester | |

NJM/fnv