IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ANTONIA VALADEZ

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-02431-VST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC

Employer

OC: 01/10/10

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated February 2, 2010, reference 01, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on April 2, 2010. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Mike LeFevre, Human Resources Manager. The record consists of the testimony of Mike LeFevre and the testimony of Antonia Valadez. Ike Rocha served as Spanish Interpreter for the claimant.

ISSUES:

Whether the claimant voluntarily left for good cause attributable to the employer; and

Whether the claimant has been overpaid benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The employer is a beef and pork processor. The claimant worked at the plant in Council Bluffs, Iowa. She was hired on January 22, 2007. Her last day of work was December 15, 2009. She was terminated on January 3, 2010, for job abandonment.

The claimant had been given a leave of absence starting December 16, 2009. The leave of absence was to permit the claimant to travel to Mexico to see her mother, who was ill. She was scheduled to return on December 28, 2009. The claimant did not return and did not call her employer to say that she would not be in. She was a no-call/no-show on December 28, 2009; December 29, 2009; December 30, 2009; and December 31, 2009. For each day of no-call/no-show, the claimant was assessed three points. She then exceeded the fifteen points that leads to termination on the employer's attendance policy.

The claimant had been specifically told by Mr. LeFevre that she must contact the employer if she had further problems and could not return. The claimant did not do so. She stayed in Mexico because an uncle had been in a car accident. She did come to work on January 11, 2010, and was informed that she had been terminated.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.22(2)j(1)(2)(3) provides:

Benefit eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- j. Leave of absence. A leave of absence negotiated with the consent of both parties, employer and employee, is deemed a period of voluntary unemployment for the employee-individual, and the individual is considered ineligible for benefits for the period.
- (1) If at the end of a period or term of negotiated leave of absence the employer fails to reemploy the employee-individual, the individual is considered laid off and eligible for benefits.
- (2) If the employee-individual fails to return at the end of the leave of absence and subsequently becomes unemployed the individual is considered as having voluntarily quit and therefore is ineligible for benefits.
- (3) The period or term of a leave of absence may be extended, but only if there is evidence that both parties have voluntarily agreed.

A quit is a separation initiated by the employee. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. See <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 698, 612 (lowa 1980) and <u>Peck v. EAB</u>, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa App. 1992). In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25.

The evidence in this case established that the claimant and the employer voluntarily negotiated a leave of absence from December 16, 2009, to December 28, 2009. The claimant failed to return following the end of her leave of absence and did not contact her employer until January 11, 2010. Iowa law states that if an employee failed to return from a negotiated leave of absence, and becomes unemployed, that the employee is deemed to have voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The next issue is overpayment of benefits. Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
 - a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
 - b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
 - (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

This matter is remanded to the Claims Section for a determination of the overpayment issue.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated February 2, 2010, reference 01, is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. This matter is remanded to the Claims Section for determination of the overpayment issue.

Vicki L. Seeck	
Administrative Law Judge	
Desiries Detector of Mailest	
Decision Dated and Mailed	