# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**MARCHIA L MOTSCH** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-02930-LT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MCGRAW-HILL INC

Employer

OC: 02/05/12

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(7) – Vacation Pay

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated March 20, 2011 (reference 01) that denied unemployment insurance benefits for the three weeks ending February 25, 2012 upon a finding that the claimant was entitled to receive vacation pay for the weeks in question. Claimant participated. Employer opted not to participate according to a letter from the employer's representative dated April 4, 2012.

## **ISSUE:**

Did the claimant receive deductible vacation pay?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: Upon separation from employment with McGraw-Hill, the claimant received money in consideration for a promise not to sue the employer over the separation from employment.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The question is whether the claimant has received deductible vacation pay. She has not.

The evidence in this record establishes that the claimant received money in consideration for her binding promise not to sue the employer over the separation from employment. This contractual payment is not traditional vacation pay provided in exchange for accumulated but unused vacation time by an employer to a departing employee. The contractual payment should not be deducted from unemployment insurance benefits.

Page 2 Appeal No. 12A-UI-02930-LT

# **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated March 20, 2012 (reference 01) is reversed.	The
claimant is entitled to receive unemployment insurance benefits for the three weeks end	ding
February 25, 2012, provided she is otherwise eligible.	

Dévon M. Lewis

Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/css