

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI	
AMY REES Claimant	APPEAL NO: 07A-UI-01425-ET
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS INC Employer	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
	OC: 07-30-06 R: 07 Claimant: Respondent (4)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the January 30, 2007, reference 07, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, but prior to the hearing being held, it was determined the Department had listed an incorrect employer as the claimant's last employer, and another decision listing the correct employer was issued.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer listed is the correct last employer for the claimant.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Department listed the incorrect employer as the claimant's last employer and, consequently, a second decision was issued naming the correct employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's last employer was not Electrolux Home Products.

DECISION:

The January 30, 2007, reference 07, decision is modified in favor of the appellant. Electrolux is not the claimant's last employer and, consequently, its account will not be charged. The

claimant will continue to receive benefits until the disposition of her case with Bantopp Industries. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/css