IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El

TERRY R ORR Claimant	APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-11006-S2T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
JOHN MORRELL & COMPANY Employer	
	OC: 10/12/08 R

OC: 10/12/08 R: 01 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving (Illness/Injury)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Terry Orr (claimant) appealed a representative's November 10, 2008 decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with John Morrell & Company (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for December 9, 2008. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Kathy Peterson, Human Resources Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on September 11, 2008, as a full-time laborer. The claimant injured his wrist at work and saw the company nurse on September 15, 2008. The nurse instructed the claimant about how to care for his wrist. The claimant did not see the nurse after that. He did not complain to the employer about his wrist. On October 6, 2008, the claimant told the employer he could not work. On October 7, 2008, the claimant told the employer he was still sick. The employer did not hear from the claimant after October 7, 2008. It was unaware that the claimant quit work for his wrist injury. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(6)b provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(6) Separation because of illness, injury or pregnancy.

b. Employment related separation. The claimant was compelled to leave employment because of an illness, injury, or allergy condition that was attributable to the employment. Factors and circumstances directly connected with employment which caused or aggravated the illness, injury, allergy, or disease to the employee which made it impossible for the employee to continue in employment because of serious danger to the employee's health may be held to be an involuntary termination of employment and constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant will be eligible for benefits if compelled to leave employment as a result of an injury suffered on the job.

In order to be eligible under this paragraph "b" an individual must present competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify termination; before quitting have informed the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that the individual intends to quit unless the problem is corrected or the individual is reasonably accommodated. Reasonable accommodation includes other comparable work which is not injurious to the claimant's health and for which the claimant must remain available.

An individual who voluntarily leaves their employment due to an alleged work-related illness or injury must first give notice to the employer of the anticipated reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to remedy the situation or offer an accommodation. *Suluki v. Employment Appeal Board*, 503 N.W.2d 402 (Iowa 1993). An employee who receives a reasonable expectation of assistance from the employer after complaining about working conditions must complain further if conditions persist in order to preserve eligibility for benefits. *Polley v. Gopher Bearing Company*, 478 N.W.2d 775 (Minn. App. 1991).

Inasmuch as the claimant did not give the employer an opportunity to resolve his complaints prior to leaving employment, the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The representative's November 10, 2008 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs