## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

VUTHY MEAS Claimant

## APPEAL 21A-UI-15961-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

REES ASSOCIATES INC Employer

> OC: 04/05/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Same Hours and Wages Iowa Code § 96.19(38) – Total and Partial Unemployment Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work Iowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) – Same Base Period Employment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Vuthy Meas, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the May 22, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied REGULAR unemployment insurance benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 10, 2021. Mr. Meas participated and testified. The employer did not register for the hearing and did not participate. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

#### **ISSUES:**

Is Mr. Meas' appeal filed on time? Is Mr. Meas partially unemployed and able to and available for work? If so, is the employer's account subject to charge?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Mr. Meas at the correct address on May 22, 2020. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) Appeals Section by June 1, 2020. Mr. Meas received the decision in the mail. He was confused by the decision since he had returned to work. He took no further action on the decision.

IWD issued two different decisions finding Mr. Meas was overpaid benefits. Those decisions were dated July 13, 2021 (reference 06), and July 13, 2021 (reference 07). Mr. Meas received those decisions in the mail.

Mr. Meas filed an appeal online on July 19, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on July 19, 2021.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the Mr. Meas' appeal of the reference 01 decision was not filed on time.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Mr. Meas received the decision in the mail before the deadline and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Mr. Meas' delay in filing his appeal before the deadline was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay in filing her appeal before the deadline. Mr. Meas' appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issues in this matter.

# **DECISION:**

Mr. Meas' appeal was not filed on time. The May 22, 2020, (reference 01) decision is affirmed.

Contal 300

Daniel Zeno Administrative Law Judge Iowa Workforce Development Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

September 16, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/ol