# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

ALISSA A CASTRELLON Claimant

# APPEAL 22A-UI-18136-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IA VETERANS HOME – MARSHALLTOWN Employer

> OC: 09/18/22 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the October 12, 2022 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits finding claimant was discharged on July 7, 2022 for failure to follow instructions in the performance of her job. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 9, 2022. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Carrie Merrifield, Hearing Representative, and Brett Schutt, Human Resources Professional. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

# **ISSUE:**

Whether claimant's separation was a discharge for disqualifying job-related misconduct.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Claimant was employed as a full-time Residential Treatment Worker from January 14, 2022 until her employment with the lowa Veterans Home ended on July 7, 2022. Claimant was still in her probationary period when her employment ended. Claimant's direct supervisor was Renee Anderson, Registered Nurse.

Employer has an attendance policy that requires employees to have paid time off to cover any absences unless employer gave prior approval for the absence. If an employee will be absent without prior approval, she must notify her supervisor at least two hours prior to the beginning of the missed shift. The policy also outlines progressive discipline for violation by a non-probationary employee. The policy is outlined in the employee handbook. Claimant received a copy of the handbook.

From January 2022 through June 2022, claimant was absent on several occasions due to illness and notified employer of her absences prior to the beginning of her shifts. Claimant was also late to work "a handful" of times due to lack of childcare. Claimant notified employer of her tardiness prior to the beginning of her shifts. On June 24, 2022, Anderson verbally warned claimant about her attendance. Anderson told claimant that she could not miss any more work.

Anderson reminded claimant that she was in a probationary period and must comply with all work rules and guidelines. Anderson reviewed the attendance policy with claimant.

On July 4, 2022, claimant was late to work due to lack of childcare. Claimant notified employer prior to the beginning of her shift. On July 7, 2022, employer discharged claimant for excessive absenteeism.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

lowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) provides:

An individual shall be *disqualified for benefits:* 

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

lowa Code section 96.5(2)d(9) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "*misconduct*" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or even design, or to show an intention al and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

(9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. Cosper v. *Iowa Dept of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(4) provides:

(4) Report required. The claimant's statement and employer's statement must give detailed facts as to the specific reason for the claimant's discharge. Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. In cases where a suspension or

disciplinary layoff exists, the claimant is considered as discharged, and the issue of misconduct shall be resolved.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) provides:

(7) *Excessive unexcused absenteeism*. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(8) provides:

(8) *Past acts of misconduct*. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988).

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused. The requirements for a finding of misconduct based on absences are therefore twofold. First, the absences must be excessive. *Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 437 N.W.2d 895 (lowa 1989). The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. *Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 350 N.W.2d 187, 192 (lowa 1984). Second, the absences must be unexcused. *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10. The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused either because it was not for "reasonable grounds," *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191, or because it was not "properly reported," holding excused absences are those "with appropriate notice." *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10.

Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute work-connected misconduct since they are not volitional, even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharge for the absence under its attendance policy. Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7); *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 9; *Gaborit v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 734 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007). Medical documentation is not essential to a determination that an absence due to illness should be treated as excused. *See Gaborit*, 734 N.W.2d at 555-558. An employer's no-fault absenteeism policy or point system is not dispositive of the issue of qualification for unemployment insurance benefits. **Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as** transportation, **Iack of childcare**, and oversleeping **are not considered excused**. *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191 (emphasis added). When a claimant does not provide an excuse for an absence, the absence is deemed unexcused. *Id.*; *see also Spragg v. Becker-Underwood, Inc.*, 672 N.W.2d 333, 2003 WL 22339237 (Iowa App. 2003). The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness; and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence.

Excessive absenteeism has been found when there have been seven unexcused absences in five months; five unexcused absences and three instances of tardiness in eight months; three unexcused absences over an eight-month period; three unexcused absences over seven

months; and missing three times after being warned. See Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 192 (lowa 1984); Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa App. 1984); Armel v. EAB, 2007 WL 3376929\*3 (lowa App. Nov. 15, 2007); Hiland v. EAB, No. 12-2300 (lowa App. July 10, 2013); and Clark v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 317 N.W.2d 517 (lowa App. 1982).

It is employer's responsibility to provide detailed facts as to the specific reason for the claimant's discharge. (See Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(4)) In this case, employer's responsibility included providing the dates of claimant's absences, whether claimant provided notice of her absence and the reason, if any, claimant provided for her absence. Employer did not provide detailed facts as to all of claimant's absences that led to her termination. However, employer and claimant combined provided evidence of at least "a handful" of unexcused absences during six months of employment – one of which occurred after claimant received a verbal warning regarding attendance.

Note, claimant's absences due to illness (either her own or her child's) were properly reported and, thus, are excused and do not constitute misconduct.

Claimant's tardiness was due to lack of childcare, which is a matter of personal responsibility and not reasonable grounds. Those absences are unexcused. Claimant accrued "a handful" of unexcused absences during six months of employment and one after receiving a verbal warning about her attendance. Claimant's unexcused absenteeism was excessive. Claimant was discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct. Benefits are denied.

## **DECISION:**

The October 12, 2022 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is AFFIRMED. Claimant was discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct. Benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

II MAN

Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge

<u>November 18, 2022</u> Decision Dated and Mailed

mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

### Employment Appeal Board 4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.

3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/iowa.courts/court-directory/">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/iowa.courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a law yer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a law yer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

#### SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

#### Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de low a §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://w w w.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://w w w.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

#### SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.