IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

BRYER V BEERMANN Claimant

APPEAL NO. 16A-UI-00005-S1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 12/13/15 Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) - Default Decision 871 IAC 26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

An appeal was filed from a representative's unemployment insurance decision dated December 21, 2015, (reference 01), that concluded the request to backdate the claim was denied. Notice of hearing was mailed to the party's last-known address of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 1:00 p.m. on January 25, 2016. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the nearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the nearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant could be reached for the hearing so no hearing was held.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the underlying decision should be affirmed and the appeal should be effectively dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant/appellant was properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. A Notice of Appeal and Hearing was mailed to the claimant/appellant on January 6, 2016. There is no evidence suggesting the claimant/appellant did not receive the hearing notice prior to the hearing scheduled on January 25, 2016.

The front page of the hearing notices states: "The judge will not call you on the day of the hearing if you have not registered your phone number with the Appeals Bureau in **Des Moines, Iowa as instructed below.**" This information can be found on both the front and the back of the hearing notice. The hearing notice instructions further advise the parties, "If you do not participate in the hearing because you do not register for the hearing, register late, or cannot be reached at the number you provided when the judge calls for the hearing, the appeal may be dismissed or decided based on other available evidence."

The claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone

number at which the claimant/appellant could be reached for the hearing so no hearing was held.

The representative's decision concluded the request to backdate the claim was denied.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The lowa Administrative Procedure Act at lowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides that if a party fails to appear or participate in a hearing after proper service of notice, the judge may enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. The statute further states that if a party makes a timely request to vacate the decision and shows good cause for failing to appear, the judge shall vacate the decision and conduct another hearing.

Agency rule 871 IAC 26.14(7) provides that if the appealing party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the Appeals Bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the judge may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in Iowa Code § 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing and shows good cause for reopening the hearing. The rule further states that failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing is not good cause for reopening the record. 871 IAC 26.14(7)c.

The claimant/appellant appealed the unemployment insurance decision but failed to participate in the scheduled appeal hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on the appeal pursuant to Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) and 871 IAC 26.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

If the appellant disagrees with this decision, a written request to reopen the record must be made to the administrative law judge within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the end of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at the scheduled time. The appellant also has the option to appeal the decision directly to the Employment Appeal Board, whose address is listed at the beginning of the decision.

DECISION:

The representative's unemployment insurance decision dated December 21, 2015, (reference 01), is affirmed. The request to backdate the claim is denied.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css