IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El

JAMES L RAYCHEL Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-09058-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

L A LEASING INC SEDONA STAFFING Employer

> OC: 02/26/12 Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(3)a – Work Refusal Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the July 17, 2012 (reference 02) decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on August 21, 2012. Claimant did not respond to the hearing notice instructions and did not participate. Employer participated through unemployment benefits administrator Colleen McGuinty and industrial account manager Elizabeth Gunnell.

ISSUES:

Was a suitable offer of work made in the benefit year; did the claimant fail to apply for or refuse an offer of suitable work; and if so, was the refusal for a good cause reason? Is the claimant overpaid benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Employer made an offer of work to claimant via Gunnell on June 21, 2012. That offer included the following terms: full-time, forklift, material handling, third shift after training on first shift, at Logistics Services in Davenport, \$11.00 per hour (\$440.00 per week) and \$11.85 when moved to third shift. The wage offered for the job is comparable to the prevailing rate of pay for similar work in the Davenport area. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$348.41. The offer was made in the 17th week of unemployment. He refused because he had been working for Graphic Innovators in Chicago since January 2012 but was laid off.

The claimant received unemployment benefits since the work refusal after filing a claim with an effective date of February 26, 2012.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

871 IAC 24.23(21) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(21) Where availability for work is unduly limited because the claimant is waiting to go to work for a specific employer and will not consider suitable work with other employers.

The offer was suitable as it exceeded 100 percent of the average weekly wage and claimant did not have a good cause reason for the refusal since he was not working for the Chicago

company and was holding himself out for recall to that employment, even after 17 weeks of unemployment. Well before that point the layoff is considered permanent and work searches are required.

The administrative law judge further concludes the claimant has been overpaid benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3(7). In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits.

DECISION:

The July 17, 2012 (reference 02) decision is reversed. Claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work. Benefits are withheld effective June 17, 2012 until such time as the claimant works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

REMAND:

The matter of determining the amount of the potential overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code § 96.3(7)b is remanded to the Agency.

NOTE TO EMPLOYER:

If you wish to change your mailing name or address of record please access your account at: <u>https://www.myiowaui.org/UITIPTaxWeb/</u>. Helpful information about using this site may be found at: <u>http://www.iowaworkforce.org/ui/uiemployers.htm</u> and <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_mpCM8FGQoY</u>

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/pjs