

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

THERESA GOTT
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-17277-ET

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
Employer

OC: 10-18-09
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving
871 IAC 26.8(5) – Decision on the Record

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed a timely appeal from the November 4, 2009, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was scheduled by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on December 23, 2009. The appellant provided a phone number prior to the hearing but was not ready to participate at the time of the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. Based on the appellant's failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the representative's decision should be affirmed.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The appellant provided a phone number prior to the hearing and answered the phone when called for the hearing. When asked if she was ready for the hearing she indicated she was not aware the hearing was today but she could proceed. The administrative law judge called the employer's witnesses and when all parties were connected on one line the claimant stated her cell phone was dying and asked if she could go get her cell phone charger. The administrative law judge told her she could do so and the claimant did not return for over eight minutes while the administrative law judge and employer's witnesses waited on the phone until 11:40 a.m. After 11:40 a.m. the administrative law judge excused the employer's witnesses and asked them to wait for five more minutes and told them if the claimant called back within that timeframe she would call them back for the hearing. The claimant did not call the Appeals Section prior to 4:30 p.m., December 23, 2009, when the decision was submitted.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

(3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

(5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

Pursuant to the rule, the appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the beginning of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated November 4, 2009, reference 01, is affirmed. The representative's decision denying benefits to the claimant remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a written request establishing good cause to reopen the record is made to the administrative law judge within 15 days of the date of this decision.

Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/css