# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JOSHUA L CURTIS 307 S 12<sup>TH</sup> ST APT #3 FAIRFIELD IA 52556

E & P AUTOMOTIVE INC 16000 HICKMAN RD CLIVE IA 50325

LARRY CURTIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
323 SIXTH ST
AMES IA 50010

#### **APPEAL NO. 070-UI-05761-MT**

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

#### **APPEAL RIGHTS:**

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to:

Employment Appeal Board 4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

The name, address and social security number of the claimant.

A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

#### **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JOSHUA L CURTIS** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07O-UI-05761-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**E & P AUTOMOTIVE INC** 

Employer

OC: 11/26/06 R: 02 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-3-a – Work Refusal Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated March 9, 2007, reference 03, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits due to a refusal to accept work. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on July 10, 2007. Claimant participated personally and was represented by Larry Curtis, Attorney at Law. Employer participated by E. J. Myers, Vice President and Edward Myers, Owner. Exhibits A, B and D were admitted into evidence. This matter was remanded by Employment Appeal Board order dated June 1, 2007.

#### ISSUES:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant refused to accept a suitable offer of work. The second issue is whether claimant's appeal is timely.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Employer made an offer of work to the claimant on October 15, 2006. That offer included the following terms: Full time work at \$450.00 per week as a technician. Claimant was granted the same rate of pay as he had previously enjoyed. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$525.00. The offer was made in the third week of unemployment. Claimant refused the offer of work because he had another offer pending with Tires Plus for more money. Claimant accepted the offer at first but later turned it down prior to earning any wages from this insured work.

Claimant changed his address. The March 9, 2007, reference 03, decision was sent to claimant's old address. Claimant returned to the old address to collect his mail on March 27, 2007. Claimant then filed his appeal on March 29, 2007. The appeal was filed within two days of actual notice of the adverse decision.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$525.00 but the offer of work was only \$450.00. Since claimant was only in the third week of unemployment the wage was too low. Furthermore, refusing a job due to an offer of better employment is acceptable. The better job was cause to refuse the lower paying job. Now that claimant has been unemployed for some time the offer of work may be appropriate at this time. If employer offers claimant further employment such should be immediately reported to Workforce Development.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

### 871 IAC 24.24(1)a provides:

- (1) Bona fide offer of work.
- a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

### 871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

Claimant's appeal is timely as he appealed within a reasonable time of actual notice of the adverse decision. The delay was caused by mailing the decision to an old address. The appeal is timely. Jurisdiction exists to entertain all issues.

### Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5. except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

## **DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated March 9, 2007, reference 03 is reversed.	Claimant is
eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits, provided claimant meets all oth	er eligibility
requirements. Claimant's appeal is timely.	

Marian Marmann

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/css