# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**RICARDO SIERRA** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 23A-UI-09166-DZ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**HY-VEE INC** 

Employer

OC: 08/13/23

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Hy-Vee Inc, the employer/appellant,¹ appealed the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) September 18, 2023 (reference 02) unemployment insurance (UI) decision. IWD found Mr. Sierra eligible for REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD concluded the employer dismissed him from work on July 21, 2023 for a reason that did not disqualify him from receiving UI benefits. On September 28, 2023 the Iowa Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing (DIAL), UI Appeals Bureau mailed a notice of hearing to the employer and Mr. Sierra for a telephone hearing scheduled for October 12, 2023.

The undersigned administrative law judge held a telephone hearing on October 12, 2023. The employer participated in the hearing through Laurie Spilman, human resources manager, Jeff Kinkel-Shuster, assistant manager, store operations, and Erin Bewley, Experian hearing representative. Mr. Sierra did not participate in the hearing. The undersigned took official notice of the administrative record and admitted Employer's Exhibit 1 as evidence.

# ISSUE:

Did the employer discharge Mr. Sierra from employment for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

Did IWD overpay Mr. Sierra UI benefits? If so, should he repay the benefits?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mr. Sierra began working for the employer in August 2022. He worked as a part-time convenience store clerk. His employment ended on January 21, 2023.

The employer's policy provides that an employee is to call the store and speak with the store director or their supervisor if the employee will be late to work or not attend work. The policy also provides that the employer will terminate the employment of any employee who does not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appellant is the person or employer who appealed.

attend work and does not call in for three consecutive shifts. Mr. Sierra received a copy of the policy on, or about, hire date.

On October 16, 2022, the employer gave Mr. Sierra a written warning because he texted his department manager that he would not be at work that, but he did not call the store. On January 3, the employer gave Mr. Sierra a second written warning for calling ten minutes before his scheduled shift to report that he would not attend work that day. On January 7, the employer gave Mr. Sierra a third written warning because he called in to report that he would be late but then he did not attend work that day. On January 9, the employer gave Mr. Sierra a final written warning because he called in to report that he would be late but then he did not attend work that day. The employer told Mr. Sierra that this was his final written warning and the employer would end his employment if he had any other attendance issues.

Mr. Sierra was scheduled to work on January 20 and 21. He did not call in or attend work either day. The employer ended Mr. Sierra's employment.

IWD paid Mr. Sierra REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the total gross amount of \$1,233.00 for 3 weeks between August 13, 2023 and September 23, 2023. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview because the employer did not receive the notice of the fact-finding interview until after the scheduled interview.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the undersigned concludes the employer discharged Mr. Sierra from employment for job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provide, in relevant part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct.<sup>2</sup> The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982).

employment, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.<sup>3</sup> Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.<sup>4</sup>

The employer may establish reasonable work rules and expect employees to abide by them. The employer has presented credible evidence that Mr. Sierra was a No-Call/No-Show for two consecutive shifts after the employer had given him a final written warning and three previous warnings. Despite these warnings, Mr. Sierra continued to not attend work. This is disqualifying misconduct and Mr. Sierra is not eligible for UI benefits.

The undersigned further concludes IWD overpaid Mr. Sierra REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the total gross amount of \$1,233.00 for 3 weeks between August 13, 2023 and September 23, 2023.

Iowa Code §96.3(7) provides, in pertinent part:

# 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers. If the department determines that an employer's failure to respond timely or adequately was due to insufficient notification from the department, the employer's account shall not be charged for the overpayment.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984).

- (1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.
- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to Iowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 lowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Since Mr. Sierra is not eligible for UI benefits based on how his job ended with the employer, he is not eligible for the UI benefits IWD already sent him. IWD overpaid Mr. Sierra REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the total gross amount of \$1,233.00 for 3 weeks between August 13, 2023 and September 23, 2023. Since the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview, Mr. Sierra is not required to repay these UI benefits. Since the employer's non-participation was through no fault of its own, the employer's account is relived of charges.

#### **DECISION:**

The September 18, 2023, (reference 02) UI decision is REVERSED. The employer discharged Mr. Sierra from employment for job-related misconduct. Mr. Sierra is not eligible for UI benefits until he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly UI benefit amount, as long as no other decision denies him UI benefits.

IWD overpaid Mr. Sierra REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the gross amount of \$1,233.00 for 3 weeks between August 13, 2023 and September 23, 2023. Since the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview, Mr. Sierra is not required to repay these UI benefits. Since the employer's non-participation was through no fault of its own, the employer's account is relived of charges.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge

Kental 300

October 13, 2023

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

DZ/jkb

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with this decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

# AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

# **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

**DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN.** Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

# UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paquen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

# **SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.