IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

SHIRLEY L DANIELS Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-03004-JT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WELLS FARGO BANK NA Employer

> OC: 01/25/09 Claimant: Appellant (2)

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

Iowa Code Section 96.5(2)(a) – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Shirley Daniels filed a timely appeal from the February 17, 2009, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, an in-person hearing was held on March 24, 2009. Ms. Daniels participated. The employer did not appear and did not participate.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment that disqualifies the claimant for unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Shirley Daniels was employed by Wells Fargo Bank as a full-time Loan Servicing Specialist II from April 20, 2007 until January 19, 2009, when Supervisor Josh Loffelholz and Teresa Gifford notified her that she was discharged for violating the employer's policy against personal e-mail. Ms. Daniels had forwarded to other employees an e-mail she received on her work computer from a coworker. The e-mail was about menopause and was not offensive in nature. Ms. Daniels had previously forwarded e-mails without being reprimanded. A couple months earlier Mr. Loffelholz had walked by Ms. Daniels' workstation as she was closing a computer window for an online gaming service.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See Lee v. Employment Appeal Board, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See <u>Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See 871 IAC 24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a "current act," the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also <u>Greene v. EAB</u>, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See 871 IAC 24.32(4). When it is in a party's power to produce more direct and satisfactory evidence than is actually produced, it may fairly be inferred that the more direct evidence will expose deficiencies in that party's case. See <u>Crosser v. lowa Dept. of Public Safety</u>, 240 N.W.2d 682 (lowa 1976).

The employer failed to appear for the hearing and thereby failed to provide any evidence to support or corroborate an allegation of misconduct. Misconduct cannot be established. The weight of the evidence indicates that Ms. Daniels merely forwarded a non-offensive e-mail she received from a coworker at Wells Fargo Bank. Ms. Daniels had engaged in similar conduct in the past without incurring a reprimand. The employer had not warned Ms. Daniels her employment would be in jeopardy if she forwarded such e-mail messages.

Based on the evidence in the record and application of the appropriate law, the administrative law judge concludes that Ms. Daniels was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Accordingly, Ms. Daniels is eligible for benefits, provided she is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits paid to Ms. Daniels.

DECISION:

The Agency representative's February 17, 2009, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided she is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/css