IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

FLOYD C STRODE

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-08682-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CARTER-MILLER SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 04/18/10

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Floyd Strode (claimant) appealed a representative's June 9, 2010 decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Carter-Miller Services (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for August 4, 2010. The claimant participated personally and through his wife, Stacey Strode. The employer participated by Reta Havard, Office Assistant.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on or about August 5, 2009, as a part-time on-call garbage man. Twice the employer called and asked the claimant to work and he was out of town. Two other times the claimant did not have money for gas. After the claimant refused work the employer assumed the claimant had quit. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(27) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(27) The claimant left rather than perform the assigned work as instructed.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his actions. He stopped accepting work. When an employee stops appearing rather than perform the assigned work, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work rather than perform the job assigned by the employer. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

bas/css

The representative's June 9, 2010 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed