

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

---

**CHARLIE GARY**  
Claimant

**EXPRESS SERVICES INC**  
Employer

**APPEAL 19A-UI-02353-DG-T**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 08/26/18**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated March 14, 2019, (reference 02) that held claimant not able to and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on April 3, 2019. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Marisa Sheldon, Office Manager.

**ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant began working for employer on April 9, 2018. Claimant was assigned to work at Berry Plastics and he worked there until February 11, 2019. On February 12, 2019 claimant fell and injured his hand outside of work. Claimant sought medical treatment and he was not able to go back to Berry Plastics because of his injury. Claimant was placed on medical restrictions until his hand heals.

Claimant is still employed by Express Services and there is work available to him once he provides a letter from his doctor releasing him back to work without restrictions. Claimant anticipates receiving a written release back to work without restrictions within a few weeks.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective February 17, 2019.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

**Benefits eligibility conditions.** For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(1) *Able to work.* An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. *Illness, injury or pregnancy.* Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

**Availability disqualifications.** The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." *Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board*, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (Iowa 1993); *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991); Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." *Sierra* at 723. The court in *Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd.*, 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." *White v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (Iowa 1992) (citing *Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (Iowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer

consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

The Supreme Court ruled that a claimant with a non-work related injury was not able to and available for work and that section 96.5(1)d was not applicable when she returned to work with a restricted release, could not perform her prior job and could not establish any other type of work of which she was capable. *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991).

Inasmuch as the medical condition is not work-related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work with or without restriction, he has not established his ability to work while still an employee of Express Services effective February 17, 2019. While he may be able to perform light work duties, the employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work related medical condition, and since he has not been released to perform his full work duties, he is not considered able to or available for work.

**DECISION:**

The March 14, 2019, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective February 17, 2019. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work, offers his services to the employer, and no suitable, comparable work is available considering reasonable accommodation; or if he is involuntarily separated before that time.

---

Duane L. Golden  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn