IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DELIA E ARMENTA

Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-06783-DH-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HOPE HAVEN INC

Employer

OC: 09/06/20

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quit

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a - Discharge for Misconduct

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Employer Participation in Fact Finding

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(37) - VQ - Resignation

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer/Appellant, Hope Haven, Inc., filed an appeal from the March 11, 2022, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that granted benefits based upon a 04/24/21 dismissal that the record failed to show willful or deliberate misconduct. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 27, 2022. Claimant, Delia Armenta, failed to participate. Employer participated through Caitlin Bliek, community living manager and party representative and Connie Tagel, human resources manager. Employer's exhibits, R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4 and R-5 were admitted. Judicial notice was taken of the administrative record, including DBIN and KFFD.

ISSUES:

Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct or voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer?

Was the claimant overpaid benefits?

Should claimant repay benefits and/or charge employer due to employer participation in fact finding?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed with a set schedule as a direct support professional, starting June 4, 2020. Her last day worked was April 24, 2021. She was separated from employment on April 24, 2021, when she voluntarily quit, texting her resignation to her supervisor at 2:13pm, stating "I was mistaken when I thought I could come back to work because I just can't (sic) do this anymore. I am quitting (sic)" and leaving work in the middle of her shift. (See R-1)

Claimant was full-time. She submitted her resignation on 04/01/21 but withdrew her resignation prior to its acceptance and negotiated working 3/4 time instead of full-time. Claimant texted her

resignation on 04/24/21, noting she was mistaken thinking she could come back to work. Claimant left in the middle of her shift. Employer accepted her resignation.

Records show claimant has received \$873.00 in benefits on this claim. Her weekly benefit amount is \$493.00. Employer advised they sent in documentation for fact finding as well as participated in a phone call. Therefore, per the definitions in Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10(1), employer did participate in fact finding.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(37) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(37) The claimant will be considered to have left employment voluntarily when such claimant gave the employer notice of an intention to resign and the employer accepted such resignation. This rule shall also apply to the claimant who was employed by an educational institution who has declined or refused to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of work for a successive academic term or year and the offer of work was within the purview of the individual's training and experience.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

Claimant texted her employer she was mistaken to think she could come back to work and that she was quitting and left in the middle of her shift on April 24, 2021. Her employer accepted her resignation. While claimant's leaving may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was

not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to Iowa law. Benefits are denied.

The next issue is whether claimant has been overpaid benefits. Iowa Code § 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1)(a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If

no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871-subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to Iowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, any benefits paid on the claim would be benefits to which she was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant

and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The law also states an employer is to be charged if "the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits..." lowa Code § 96.3(7)(b)(1)(a).

Claimant received \$873.00 in benefits on this claim. Employer did participate in the fact-finding by submitting information and calling, talking to someone regarding the claim. Claimant is disqualified as of the benefit week ending 05/01/2021. The overpayment occurred while she was disqualified. Because employer participated in fact finding, claimant does have to repay the overpayment of benefits and employer shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The March 11, 2022, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is **REVERSED**. Claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to employer on 04/24/2021. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. Claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$873.00 that do have to be repaid as employer adequately participate in fact finding and therefore employer shall not be charged.

Darrin T. Hamilton Administrative Law Judge

__June 16, 2022__ Decision Dated and Mailed

dh/mh