IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

KATHERINE R VAIL

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-12374-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 10/04/15

Claimant: Appellant (4)

871 IAC 24.2(1)g - Retroactive Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the October 27, 2015 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied her request for retroactive benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on November 25, 2015. Claimant participated.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant eligible for retroactive benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of October 4, 2015. She last worked on October 8 and chose not to file a weekly continuing claim at the end of that week as she had earned wages. During the week ending October 17, the claimant assumed she would not have to make a claim since she had not received any paperwork indicating her claim was approved. The claimant did not check with anyone at IWD to see if her assumption about waiting was correct. The claimant has unemployment claims in three prior claim years. When the claimant attempted to file her weekly continuing claim for the week ending October 24, the computer system locked her out and would not let her file. She did not earn any wages for the week ending October 24, 2015.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's request for retroactive benefits is granted in part.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)h(1), (2) and (3) provide:

Procedures for workers desiring to file a claim for benefits for unemployment insurance.

- (1) Section 96.6 of the employment security law of lowa states that claims for benefits shall be made in accordance with such rules as the department prescribes. The department of workforce development accordingly prescribes:
- h. Effective starting date for the benefit year.

- (1) Filing for benefits shall be effective as of Sunday of the current calendar week in which, subsequent to the individual's separation from work, an individual reports in person at a workforce development center and registers for work in accordance with paragraph "a" of this rule.
- (2) The claim may be backdated prior to the first day of the calendar week in which the claimant does report and file a claim for the following reasons:

Backdated prior to the week in which the individual reported if the individual presents to the department sufficient grounds to justify or excuse the delay;

There is scheduled filing in the following week because of a mass layoff;

The failure of the department to recognize the expiration of the claimant's previous benefit year;

The individual is given incorrect advice by a workforce development employee;

The claimant filed an interstate claim against another state which has been determined as ineligible;

Failure on the part of the employer to comply with the provisions of the law or of these rules:

Coercion or intimidation exercised by the employer to prevent the prompt filing of such claim:

Failure of the department to discharge its responsibilities promptly in connection with such claim, the department shall extend the period during which such claim may be filed to a date which shall be not less than one week after the individual has received appropriate notice of potential rights to benefits, provided, that no such claim may be filed after the 13 weeks subsequent to the end of the benefit year during which the week of unemployment occurred. In the event continuous jurisdiction is exercised under the provisions of the law, the department may, in its discretion, extend the period during which claims, with respect to week of unemployment affected by such redetermination, may be filed.

(3) When the benefit year expires on any day but Saturday, the effective date of the new claim is the Sunday of the current week in which the claim is filed even though it may overlap into the old benefit year up to six days. However, backdating shall not be allowed at the change of the calendar quarter if the backdating would cause an overlap of the same quarter in two base periods. When the overlap situation occurs, the effective date of the new claim may be postdated up to six days. If the claimant has benefits remaining on the old claim, the claimant may be eligible for benefits for that period by extending the old benefit year up to six days.

Claimant's assumption that she had to wait for paperwork approving her claim before filing her weekly continuing claim and failure to inquire with IWD are not considered good cause reasons for having failed to file weekly continuing claims. The claimant was locked out of filing her weekly claim for the week ending October 24, 2015 and she is allowed full retroactive benefits for that one week ending October 24, 2015.

DECISION:

The October 27, 2015 (reference 01) decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant's request for retroactive benefits, for one week ending October 24, 2015, is granted. .

T 1/2 1/21

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/can