

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI**

**STEVE C TENO
1546 HWY 131
ALBIA IA 52531**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**Appeal Number: 04A-UI-05562-AT
OC: 11-23-03 R: 03
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-3 – Active Work Search

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Steve C. Teno filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated May 11, 2004, reference 01, which issued a warning to him upon a finding that he had made fewer than two in-person job contacts for the week ending May 8, 2004. After reviewing all matters of record, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was laid off on April 30, 2004 by Rubbermaid. He was hired effective May 10, 2004 by Pella

Corporation. He was advised by his local Workforce Development center that no additional job contacts were necessary.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record. It should. The evidence establishes that Mr. Teno's work search was successful in securing new employment for him. Under these circumstances, additional job contacts should be waived.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated May 11, 2004, reference 01, is reversed. The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

tjc/b