IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TIFFANY A FORD
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 110-EUCU-00219-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 06/21/09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tiffany Ford (claimant) appealed a representative's November 8, 2010 decision (reference 05) that concluded she was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits. An administrative law judge decision was issued on December 17, 2010, affirming the representative's decision. A decision of remand was issued by the Employment Appeal Board on February 25, 2011. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for March 26, 2011. The claimant notified the administrative law judge that a hearing was unnecessary and a decision could be entered based on the information in the record.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant filed an original claim for job insurance benefits effective June 21, 2009. A disqualification decision was issued on August 18, 2009. That decision was affirmed in decision 09A-UI-12093-H2T on September 10, 2009. On November 4, 2009, the Employment Appeal Board reversed and remanded the matter for determination. Neither the administrative law judge nor the Employment Appeal Board addressed the issue of the \$2,260.00 overpayment. A workforce development worker deleted the overpayment from the claimant's account. On November 10, 2009, a corrected monetary record was issued based on the Employment Appeal Board's decision. The claimant did not appeal the monetary record. Based on the November 10, 2009, monetary record, the claimant's weekly benefit amount was reduced by \$120.00 over a seven-week period. This resulted in an overpayment of \$840.00. The claimant made weekly payments and paid the \$840.00 in full.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the following reasons, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

lowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.3-7, as the monetary record that created the overpayment decision has now been affirmed. The claimant made weekly payments and paid the \$840.00 in full.

DECISION:

bas/kjw

The representative's November 8, 2010 decision (reference 05) is affirmed. The claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in an amount of \$840.00. The claimant has paid the amount in full.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	