

**BEFORE THE  
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
Lucas State Office Building  
Fourth floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

---

**SHANTARIAN M KNEELAND**

Claimant

and

**AEROTEK INC**

Employer

**HEARING NUMBER: 19BUI-01405**

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
DECISION**

**SECTION:** 10A.601 Employment Appeal Board Review

**D E C I S I O N**

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The notice of hearing in this matter was mailed February 19, 2019. The notice set a hearing for March 4, 2019. The Employer did not appear for or participate in the hearing. The reason the Employer did not appear is because the Employer did not provide a telephone number at which he could be reached, and he did not receive a call to participate. The Employer contacted the administrative law judge approximately 21 minutes after the scheduled start of the hearing.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 10A.601(4) (2015) provides:

4. Appeal board review. The appeal board may on its own motion affirm, modify, or set aside any decision of a administrative law judge on the basis of the evidence previously submitted in such case, or direct the taking of additional evidence, or may permit any of the parties to such decision to initiate further appeals before it. The appeal board shall permit such further appeal by any of the parties interested in a decision of an administrative law judge and by the representative whose decision has been overruled or modified by the administrative law judge. The appeal board shall review the case pursuant to rules adopted by the appeal board. The appeal board shall promptly notify the interested parties of its findings and decision.

01405

Here the Employer did not participate in the hearing because he had not provided a telephone number for the administrative law judge to call. When the Employer did not receive a call, he contacted the administrative law judge within a reasonable timeframe after the scheduled hearing time, which established his intention to follow through with the appeals process. For this reason, the matter will be remanded for another hearing before an administrative law judge so that the Employer may avail himself of his due process right.

We caution the Employer that, barring exceptional circumstances, we will not again excuse a failure to call in a number where the Employer could be reached.

**DECISION:**

The decision of the administrative law judge dated March 5, 2019 is not vacated and remains in force unless and until the Department makes a differing determination pursuant to this remand. This matter is remanded to an administrative law judge in the Workforce Development Center, Appeals Section. The administrative law judge shall conduct a hearing following due notice. After the hearing, the administrative law judge shall issue a decision which provides the parties appeal rights.

The Employment Appeal Board would correct the administrative law judge's Statement of the Case as follows:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated February 13, 2019, reference 02, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on March 4, 2019. Claimant participated. ~~Employer participated by Megan Boomershine.~~ Employer failed to answer when called for the hearing and did not participate. Claimant's Exhibits A-D were admitted into evidence.

---

Kim D. Schmett

---

Ashley R. Koopmans

---

James M. Strohman