

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CRAIG THOMPSON
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-EUCU-00946-BT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

OC: 05/31/09
Claimant: Appellant (2)

871 IAC 26.2 – Dismissed - Not a Contested Case

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Craig Thompson (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated October 11, 2010, reference 02, which denied his request for training extension benefits. Due notice was issued scheduling the matter for a telephone hearing to be held Saturday, November 20, 2010. Before a hearing could be held, the Agency granted the relief requested by the claimant by issuing a subsequent decision which amended the earlier decision. Under these circumstances, no hearing is necessary. Based on the subsequent decision, the administrative record, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether a hearing in this matter is necessary.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having reviewed and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The decision from which the claimant has appealed has been rendered null and void by a subsequent fact-finding decision issued November 16, 2010.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether a hearing in this matter is necessary. It is not because the claimant has received the relief he requested in his appeal in a decision issued November 16, 2010. Consequently, there are no issues to be adjudicated and the matter is dismissed.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated October 11, 2010, reference 02, reversed as a result of the subsequent agency decision issued November 16, 2010. Training extension benefits are allowed.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/kjw