IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

AUDREY J HILL 4241 - 11TH AVE #A MOLINE IL 61265

COURTYARD MANAGEMENT CORP C/O TALX UC EXPRESS PO BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-06072-CT

OC: 05/08/05 R: 12 Claimant: Respondent (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, lowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Courtyard Management Corporation filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated May 24, 2005, reference 01, which held that no disqualification would be imposed regarding Audrey Hill's separation from employment. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on June 28, 2005. Ms. Hill participated personally. The employer participated by Julie Henke, General Manager. Exhibits One, Two, and Three were admitted on the employer's behalf.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having reviewed all the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Ms. Hill was employed by Courtyard Management Corporation from June 31, 2004 until May 3, 2005 as a full-time housekeeper. She was discharged because of her attendance.

All of Ms. Hill's absences were properly reported to the employer. They were all due to her own illness except for one occasion when she was absent due to her daughter's illness. She received warnings concerning her attendance on August 15 and December 2, 2004. Ms. Hill was absent due to illness on May 1 and notified of her discharge on May 3, 2005. Attendance was the sole reason for the discharge.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

At issue in this matter is whether Ms. Hill was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason. An individual who was discharged from employment is disqualified from receiving job insurance benefits if the discharge was for misconduct. Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a. The employer had the burden of proving disqualifying misconduct. Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). An individual who was discharged because of attendance is disqualified from receiving job insurance benefits if she was excessively absent on an unexcused basis. Absences which are for reasonable cause and which are properly reported to the employer are considered excused absences.

The administrative law judge concludes that all of Ms. Hill's absences are excused as they were for reasonable cause and were properly reported. Excused absences may not form the basis of a misconduct disqualification, regardless of now excessive. While the employer may have had good cause to discharge Ms. Hill in light of its attendance policy, conduct which might warrant a discharge from employment will not necessarily sustain a disqualification from job insurance benefits. Budding v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 337 N.W.2d 219 (Iowa App. 1983). For the reasons stated herein, benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated May 24, 2005, reference 01, is hereby affirmed. Ms. Hill was discharged but disqualifying misconduct has not been established. Benefits are allowed, provided she satisfies all other conditions of eligibility.

cfc/pjs