IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

NAGI J AIAN

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 14A-UI-03109-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

TPI IOWA LLC

Employer

OC: 02/09/14

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-2-a - Discharge

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a department decision dated March 17, 2014, reference 03, that held he was discharged for misconduct on February 13, 2014, and benefits are denied. A telephone hearing was held on April 14, 2014. The claimant, and witness Browen Teah, participated. The employer did not participate.

ISSUE:

Whether claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with employment.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having heard the witness testimony and having considered the evidence in the record finds: The claimant was hired on October 21, 2012, and last worked for the employer as a full-time production on February 13, 2014. The employer terminated claimant for inappropriate behavior.

The employer designated witness was not available when called twice for the hearing at the phone number provided on the UI Appeals C2T control system.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Appeal No. 14A-UI-03109-ST

The administrative law judge concludes employer failed to establish claimant was discharged for misconduct on February 13, 2014 for inappropriate behavior. The employer failed to participate in this hearing and offer evidence of job disqualifying misconduct.

DECISION:

rls/pjs

The	department	decision	dated M	larch 17	7, 2014,	refe	rence 03	, is	reversed	. The	claimant	was
not	discharged fo	or miscon	duct on	Februa	ry 13, 20	014.	Benefits	are	allowed,	provide	ed claima	ant is
othe	erwise eligible	Э.										

Randy L. Stephenson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed