

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**BRANDY C REASON**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 11A-EUCU-00633-AT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 03/21/10**  
**Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Section 96.3-5-b – Training Extension Benefits

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Brandy C. Reason filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated June 30, 2011, reference 06, that denied training extension benefits to her upon a finding that her course of study was not a high demand or high technology occupation or part of a job training program authorized under the Workforce Investment Act. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held August 25, 2011 with Ms. Reason participating. Exhibit A was admitted into evidence on her behalf.

**ISSUE:**

Is the claimant eligible for training extension benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Brandy C. Reason is in training in cosmetology. Her training program has been authorized by a WIA employment specialist pursuant to the Workforce Investment Act of 1998.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The question is whether the claimant is eligible for training extension benefits. She is.

While the claimant may not be in training for a high demand or high technology occupation, she is in an approved training program authorized under the Workforce Investment Act. Under these circumstances, training extension benefits are allowed.

**DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated June 30, 2011, reference 06, is reversed. The claimant is eligible for training extension benefits.

---

Dan Anderson  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

pjs/pjs