IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEM PLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

SHANE A FULLER Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-16252-AD-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CASEY'S MARKETING COMPANY

Employer

OC: 04/25/21 Claimant: Respondent (6)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision lowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On July 23, 2021, Casey's Marketing Company (employer/appellant) filed an appeal from the lowa Workforce Development decision dated July 15, 2021 (reference 01) that allowed unemployment insurance benefits based on a finding that claimant was dismissed from work on April 10, 2021 without a showing of misconduct.

Notices of hearing were mailed to the parties' last known addresses of record for a telephone hearing scheduled for September 14, 2021 at 1 p.m.

A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the appellant failed to follow the clear instructions on the hearing notice and provide a telephone number at which the appellant could be reached for the scheduled hearing. No hearing was held.

ISSUES:

Should the appeal be dismissed based on the appellant's failure to appear and Ι. participate?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing for this appeal. The appellant failed to provide a telephone number at which the appellant could be reached for the scheduled hearing and did not participate or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. Official notice of the Clear2there hearing control screen is taken to establish that appellant did not call or register online with the Appeals Bureau to provide a telephone number and/or name of a representative.

The hearing notice instruction specifically advises parties of the date and time of the hearing. It also states:

IMPORTANT NOTICE!

YOU MUST PROVIDE YOUR PHONE NUMBER TO THE APPEALS BUREAU AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. If you do not follow these instructions, the judge will not call you for the hearing. You must also provide the name(s) and phone number(s) of any witnesses to the Appeals Bureau.

The back page of the hearing notice provides further instruction and warning:

If you do not participate in the hearing, the judge may dismiss the appeal or issue a decision without considering your evidence or witness(es). The Appeals Bureau does not have a phone number for this hearing unless you provide it to us by following the instructions on the other side of this page. If you do not follow those instructions, the judge will not call you for the hearing. 871 IAC 26.14(7).

The information quoted above also appears on the hearing notice in Spanish.

The record was left open for a minimum of 15 minutes after the hearing start time as courtesy to the appellant. The appellant did not register a number or call in to participate within that timeframe.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The lowa Administrative Procedures Act at lowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 26.14(7) provides:

If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in lowa Code § 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.

b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

Due process requires notice and an opportunity to be heard, both of which were provided to the appellant. The appellant filed the appeal and is solely responsible for prosecuting the case in a timely and deliberate manner. The rule holds appellant in default if not present at the start of hearing. As a courtesy, appellant was granted additional time not required by statute or rule. Here, notwithstanding additional time, notice and opportunity, the appellant failed to prosecute the case promptly. As such the appellant is in default and the appeal shall be dismissed. The representative's decision remains in force and effect.

DECISION:

The decision dated July 15, 2021 (reference 01) that allowed unemployment insurance benefits based on a finding that claimant was dismissed from work on April 10, 2021 without a showing of misconduct remains in effect, as the appellant is in default. The appeal is dismissed.

and Nopplining

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515) 478-3528

September 16, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/mn