IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CAREY ROTHMAN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-05532-E2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SMITHWAY MOTOR XPRESS INC

Employer

Original Claim: 03/08/09 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated March 26, 2009, reference 01, which held the claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on May 5, 2009. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Terri Pearson.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant was discharged for an unsatisfactory safety record. The last incident took place on March 1, 2009. The claimant was an over-the-road trucker. He was making a delivery in Pennsylvania. He was attempting to find someone who could tell him where he could pick up an empty trailer. After a number of attempts, he hooked up his truck to a trailer and pulled it a couple of feet from the loading dock door so he could put his head in and call in to get the attention of someone who could let him know about the status of the empty trailer he was waiting for. He was not authorized to move the trailer. The customer complained about the conduct of the claimant in moving the trailer. The claimant's safety history listed two non-preventable accidents in 2008 and three preventable accidents, one in 2005 and two in 2007.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

A claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if an employer discharges him for reasons constituting work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a. The employer has the burden to prove the claimant was discharged for work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law. Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The propriety of a discharge is not at issue in an unemployment insurance case. An employer may be justified in discharging an employee, but the employee's conduct may not amount to misconduct precluding the payment of unemployment compensation. The law limits disqualifying misconduct to willful wrongdoing or repeated carelessness or negligence that equals willful misconduct in culpability. Lee v. Employment Appeal Board, 616 N.W.2d 661, 665 (Iowa 2000).

For unemployment insurance purposes, misconduct amounts to a deliberate act and a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of a worker's contract of employment. Misconduct is a deliberate violation or disregard of the standard of behavior the employer has a right to expect from employees or is an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, unsatisfactory performance due to inability or incapacity, inadvertence or ordinary negligence in isolated incidents, or good-faith errors in judgment or discretion are not deemed to constitute work-connected misconduct. 871 IAC 24.32(1)(a).

The claimant was not authorized to move the customer's trailer. His actions in moving the trailer was an isolated incident of poor judgment. It appears he was trying to get a trailer and get on the road. The claimant's record of accidents in 2007 and 2005 does not appear to be substantially related to his conduct in March 2009.

DECISION:

The repr	res	entative'	s decision	dated	March 2	26, 2009	, ref	erence 01	l, is	reverse	ed.	The cl	aimant is
eligible	to	receive	unemploy	ment	insuranc	e benef	fits,	provided	he	meets	all	other	eligibility
requiren	ner	nts.											

James Elliott
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jfe/kjw