# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**RUPPERT L SPINKS** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-08292-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ROBERTS DAIRY COMPANY
MID AMERICAN DAIRYMEN INC ET AL
Employer

OC: 05-22-11

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the June 14, 2011, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on July 27, 2011. The claimant did participate. The employer did not participate.

### ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged due to job-related misconduct?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a utility worker full time beginning July 31, 2007 through May 23, 2011 when he was discharged. The claimant knew the employer's attendance policy was governed by points. He reached twenty-two points on May 23, 2011 when he did not show up for work because he did not have childcare for his son. The claimant knew that the child's mother was not reliable and did not make other arrangements for alternate daycare for his son. He had been warned that his poor attendance and his excessive accumulation of points were placing his job in jeopardy.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

## 871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of **personal responsibility** such as transportation, **lack of childcare**, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984). An employer's point system or no-fault absenteeism policy is not dispositive of the issue of qualification for benefits.

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified as to when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

#### **DECISION:**

The June 14, 2011 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge
Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/css