IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JOSHUA A CROUSE

Claimant

APPEAL 19A-UI-07169-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ANNETT HOLDINGS INC

Employer

OC: 08/18/19

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the September 5, 2019 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon him voluntarily quitting work without good cause attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 2, 2019. The claimant, Joshua A. Crouse, participated personally. Attorney Lori Bullock represented the claimant. The employer, Annett Holdings Inc., participated through witnesses Marcy Noble, Sean DeGoey, and Steven Feldmann.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a logistics sales representative. He began working for this employer on July 5, 2017 and his employment ended on August 13, 2019. His job duties included calling customers to increase business for the employer. His immediate supervisor was Sean DeGoey.

On August 13, 2019, claimant received a written warning for his attendance and performance at work. Claimant had been absent for several days due to a non-work related illness and his revenue numbers were not meeting expectations. The employer has a no-fault attendance policy that allowed employees eight absenteeism occurrences prior to discharge. Claimant had not received approved Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") leave at the time of the disciplinary meeting.

Following the disciplinary meeting, claimant put his key card on Ms. Noble's desk and told her "I'm done". He then left the premises. There was continuing work available to him had he not quit.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Claimant had an intention to quit and carried out that intention by putting his key card on Ms. Noble's desk, saying "I'm done" and leaving. As such, claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). Claimant alleged that he voluntarily quit due to intolerable working conditions.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

As such, if claimant establishes that she left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions, benefits would be allowed. Generally, notice of an intent to quit is required by Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Employment Appeal Bd., 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (lowa 1993), and Swanson v. Employment Appeal Bd., 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). These cases require an employee to give an employer notice of intent to guit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. Accordingly, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added, however, to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005). "Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd., 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (Iowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); Shontz v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (lowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer "free from fault"); Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (lowa 1956)("The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer."). Good cause may be attributable to "the employment itself" rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. Raffety, 76 N.W.2d at 788 (lowa 1956). Therefore, claimant was not required to give the employer any notice with regard to the intolerable or detrimental working conditions prior to him quitting. However, claimant must prove that his working conditions were intolerable or detrimental.

Claimant failed to prove that his receipt of disciplinary action due to absenteeism rose to the level of intolerable working conditions. Given the timing of the claimant's quit, this case aligns with Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

The claimant's voluntary quitting was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

db/rvs

The September 5, 2019 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and earned wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount after his separation date, and provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dawn Boucher	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
Decision Dated and Malled	