

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

BRITTANY M GILPIN
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 20A-UI-03512-JTT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

HUCKLEBERRY ENTERTAINMENT LLC
Employer

OC: 03/22/20
Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit
Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) – Dismissal of Appeal on Default
Iowa Code Section 96.3(7) – Overpayment
Public Law 116-136, Section 2104(b) – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the April 24, 2020, reference 01, decision that held she was disqualified for benefits and the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant voluntarily quit on August 23, 2019 without good cause attributable to the employer. A notice of hearing was mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 10:00 a.m. on May 18, 2020. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which she could be reached for the hearing. The employer registered a telephone number for the hearing, but could not be reached at that number at the time of the hearing. Based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision. The administrative law judge took official notice of the Agency's administrative record of benefits paid to the claimant (DBRO and KPYX).

ISSUES:

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant not participating in the hearing?'

Was the claimant overpaid regular state benefits.

Was the claimant overpaid Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant is the appellant in this matter. The claimant was properly notified of the appeal hearing set for 10:00 a.m. on May 18, 2020 through the hearing notice that was mailed to her last-known address of record on May 7, 2020. The claimant did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. The claimant did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which she could

be reached for the hearing. The claimant did not provide a telephone number in her online appeal. The claimant has not provided the Appeals Bureau with a telephone number since filing the appeal.

The April 24, 2020, reference 01, decision held the claimant was disqualified for benefits and the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant voluntarily quit on August 23, 2019 without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Workforce Development records reflect that the Agency paid \$1,047.00 in regular state benefits to the claimant for the four weeks between March 22, 2020 and April 18, 2020. Iowa Workforce Development Records also reflect that the Agency paid \$1,200.00 in Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits to the claimant for the two-week period that ended April 18, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Iowa Administrative Procedures Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.14(7) provides:

(7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provide in Iowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.

b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

The claimant/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on her appeal pursuant to Iowa Code §17A.12(3) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides that if a claimant receives benefits and is deemed ineligible for the benefits, Workforce Development must recover the benefits and the claimant must repay the benefits, even if the claimant was not at fault in receiving the benefits.

Because the April 24, 2020, reference 01, decision disqualified the claimant for benefits and because the present decision affirms the disqualification, the \$1,047.00 in regular state benefits that the claimant received for the four weeks between March 22, 2020 and April 18, 2020 constitutes an overpayment of benefits. The claimant must repay the overpaid benefits.

PL116-136, Sec. 2104 provides, in pertinent part:

(b) Provisions of Agreement

(1) Federal pandemic unemployment compensation.--Any agreement under this section shall provide that the State agency of the State will make payments of regular compensation to individuals in amounts and to the extent that they would be determined if the State law of the State were applied, with respect to any week for which the individual is (disregarding this section) otherwise entitled under the State law to receive regular compensation, as if such State law had been modified in a manner such that the amount of regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable for any week shall be equal to

(A) the amount determined under the State law (before the application of this paragraph), plus

(B) an additional amount of \$600 (in this section referred to as "Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation").

....

(f) Fraud and Overpayments

(2) Repayment.--In the case of individuals who have received amounts of Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to which they were not entitled, the State shall require such individuals to repay the amounts of such Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to the State agency...

Because the claimant is disqualified from receiving regular unemployment insurance (UI) benefits, the claimant is also disqualified from receiving Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC). The \$1,200.00 in FPUC benefits the claimant received for the two-week period ending April 18, 2020 constitutes an overpayment of benefits. The claimant is required to repay those benefits.

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.

DECISION:

The claimant defaulted on her appeal. The appeal is dismissed. The April 24, 2020, reference 01, decision that held the claimant was disqualified for benefits and the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant voluntarily quit on August 23, 2019 without good cause attributable to the employer, remains in effect. The claimant is overpaid \$1,047.00 in regular state benefits for the four weeks between March 22, 2020 and April 18, 2020. The claimant is overpaid \$1,200.00 in Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits for the two-week period ending April 18, 2020. The claimant must repay the overpaid state and federal benefits.



James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
Iowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax 515-478-3528

May 21, 2020
Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/scn