IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

TRACY J BURGART PO BOX 106 CHURDAN IA 50050

SMITHWAY MOTOR XPRESS INC PO BOX 404 FORT DODGE IA 50501 Appeal Number: 04A-UI-04102-H2T

OC 03-07-04 R 01 Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319*.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct Section 96.5-1-d – Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the March 30, 2004, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 5, 2004. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through (representative) Phil Daniels, Assistant Human Resources Manager or Tom Nelson, Director of Human Resources. Claimant's Exhibit A was received. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a sales secretary full time beginning June 27, 2002 through

January 16, 2004 when she was discharged. The claimant was off work at her physician's request beginning October 24, 2003 due to a non-work related blood pressure problem. The claimant was granted FMLA leave, which was to expire on January 16, 2004. On January 16, 2004 the claimant did not have a doctors release to return to work. When the claimant did not return to work on January 16, 2004 the employer discharged her. The claimant was released to return to work on March 11, 2004. When released to return to work, the employer had already discharged the claimant.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(6)a provides:

- (6) Separation because of illness, injury, or pregnancy.
- b. Nonemployment related separation. The claimant left because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician. Upon recovery, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the claimant returned and offered to perform services to the employer, but no suitable, comparable work was available. Recovery is defined as the ability of the claimant to perform all of the duties of the previous employment.

The claimant was not required to return to the employer to offer services after the medical recovery because she had already been involuntarily terminated from her employment. Thus, the separation was a discharge.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

lowa Code §96.5(1)(d) does not require a claimant to return to the employer to offer services after a medical recovery or release if the employment has already been terminated. <u>Porazil v. IWD, No. 3-408</u> (Iowa Ct. App. Aug. 27, 2003).

The claimant had not been medically released to return to work as of the date of separation when the employer discharged her. The claimant had a release from a doctor to be off work until March 11, 2004. The claimant's failure to return to work is not misconduct as she was physically not able to work according to her physician. No misconduct on the part of the claimant has been established. Benefits are allowed.

# **DECISION:**

The March 30, 2004, reference 02, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

tkh/kjf