# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JOANNE L SANCHEZ** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-04069-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**AEROTEK INC** 

Employer

OC: 02/27/11

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)(a) – Discharge for Misconduct

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the March 29, 2011, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was set for April 21, 2011. Neither party complied with the hearing notice instructions to provide a telephone number for the hearing and neither participated. Exhibit D-1, the decision appealed from, and Exhibit D-2, the claimant's appeal letter were received into evidence. The administrative law judge notes that the fact-finding materials were not available to the Appeals Section at the scheduled time of the hearing because they had not yet been scanned onto the Agency's server.

#### ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment that disqualifies the claimant for unemployment insurance benefits.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Joanne Sanchez was employed by Aerotek, Inc., until March 3, 2011, when she was discharged for allegedly using profane language on the job. The employer alleged that Ms. Sanchez had used profanity during a telephone call with a customer. The employer declined to let Ms. Sanchez know what profane word(s) she was alleged to have used. The employer declined Ms. Sanchez's request for proof of the content of the phone call.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code § 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See <u>Lee v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See <u>Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See 871 IAC 24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a "current act," the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also <u>Greene v. EAB</u>, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See 871 IAC 24.32(4). When it is in a party's power to produce more direct and satisfactory evidence than is actually produced, it may fairly be inferred that the more direct evidence will expose deficiencies in that party's case. See Crosser v. Iowa Dept. of Public Safety, 240 N.W.2d 682 (Iowa 1976).

The evidence in the record fails to establish misconduct in connection with the employment. The employer failed to appear for a hearing in which it carried the burden of proving misconduct in connection with the employment. The evidence fails to establish that Ms. Sanchez used profanity or engaged in any other conduct amounting to misconduct in connection with the employment.

Based on the evidence in the record and application of the appropriate law, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Accordingly, the claimant is eligible for benefits, provided she is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits paid to the claimant.

# **DECISION:**

The Agency repres	sentative's March 29, 201	1, reference 01,	decision is	reversed.	The claim	ant
was discharged for	r no disqualifying reason.	The claimant is	eligible for	benefits, p	rovided she	e is
otherwise eligible.	The employer's account	may be charged				

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/pjs