# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

PRINCE M PYNE
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-04783-S2T
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION

AEROTEK INC
Employer

OC: 01/20/13

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Prince Pyne (claimant) appealed a representative's April 12, 2013 decision (reference 02) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he was discharged from work with Aerotek (employer) for excessive unexcused absenteeism. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for May 29, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not provide a telephone number where it could be reached and therefore, did not participate in the hearing.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services from February 2013 through March 15, 2013. He signed documents on his hire and knew he was to contact the employer to request placement in a new assignment. The claimant completed his last assignment on March 15, 2013. The claimant was never absent during his last assignment and the employer did not issue the claimant any warnings during his employment. The claimant sought reassignment from the employer on March 15, 2013. No other work was available. The claimant called the employer repeatedly to seek reassignment but the employer would not take the claimant's calls. The claimant left messages.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not separated from the employer for any disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

The claimant requested reassignment and has, therefore, failed to satisfy the requirements of lowa Code Section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed.

# **DECISION:**

The representative's April 12, 2013 decision (reference 02) is reversed. The claimant was separated from the employer for good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

\_\_\_\_

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs