IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

BRENDA S HEYWOOD 1260 N MICHIGAN RD SHELBYVILLE IN 46176

M E D A INC 515 E 2^{ND} ST MOULTON IA 52572

Appeal Number:05A-UI-03425-S2TOC:01/23/05R:Claimant:Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-3-a - Refusal to Accept Suitable Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Brenda Heywood (claimant) appealed a representative's March 25, 2005 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she refused suitable work with M.E.D.A. (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on May 4, 2005. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Phil McMaines, Owner.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant worked for the employer from March 26, 2003, to December 21, 2004, as a full-time office secretary.

On February 8, 2005, the employer offered the claimant's husband work. No offer of work has been made by the employer to the claimant since December 21, 2004.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant refused an offer of suitable work. For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes she did not.

871 IAC 24.24(1)a provides:

(1) Bona fide offer of work.

a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

The employer did not offer work to the claimant. The claimant is qualified to receive benefits because no offer of suitable work was made to the claimant.

DECISION:

The representative's March 25, 2005 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant is qualified to receiving unemployment insurance benefits.

bas/pjs