# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SHAWNA L LONG

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-05482-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KUM & GO

Employer

OC: 03/08/09

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Kum & Go (employer) appealed a representative's March 27, 2009 decision (reference 01) that concluded Shawna Long (claimant) was discharged and there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for May 5, 2009. The claimant participated personally. The claimant offered former co-worker, Jennifer Mackerman, as an additional witness. The employer participated by Deborah Downey, General Manager. The employer offered and Exhibit One was received into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on November 6, 2008, as a part-time associate. The claimant called in sick on February 25 and 26, 2009. On February 27, 2009, the claimant sent the employer an e-mail stating she was "going to leave and take this other job." The employer did not hear from the claimant after the e-mail. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by the claimant's words and actions. The claimant gave the employer a letter of resignation and stopped appearing for work. There was no evidence presented at the hearing of good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The claimant's and the employer's testimony is inconsistent. The administrative law judge finds the employer's testimony and evidence to be more credible. The claimant read a different version of the e-mail into evidence but did not provide a copy of her e-mail to the administrative law judge.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The claimant has received benefits since filing the claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits may now constitute an overpayment. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

## **DECISION:**

The	representative's	March 27,	2009	decision	(reference	ce 01) i	s reverse	d. The	clain	nant
volur	ntarily left work w	ithout good	cause	attributab	le to the	employe	er. Benefi	ts are de	nied.	The
issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.										

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css