IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

ANTOINETTE M KERSTEN 558 MCSHANE AVE WATERLOO IA 50703-1442

L A LEASING INC SEDONA STAFFING 612 VALLEY DR MOLINE IL 61265 AMENDED Appeal Number: 06A-UI-05541-H2T

OC: 04-23-06 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319*.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
 (Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-3 - Availability for Work Section 96.5-3-a - Refusal of Suitable Work Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the May 23, 2006, reference 02, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on June 13, 2006. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Brian Burton, Branch Manager and (representative) Colleen McGuinty, Unemployment Insurance Administrator.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The employer, through Brian Burton, made an offer of work to claimant on May 8, 2006. That offer

included the following terms: Working as a puddle sucker at Meto Kote five days per week, for eight hours per day or forty hours per week at \$7.50 per hour. The claimant would not be required to do any heavy lifting and would certainly not be required to lift over 30 pounds. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$147.17. The offer was made in the third week of unemployment.

The claimant refused the work because she is not allowed to earn any more than \$860.00 per month or she jeopardizes her ability to receive social security disability benefits.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The offer was suitable, as it did meet the minimum wage requirements set out above for an offer to be considered suitable and the nature of the work was not too heavy for the claimant. The claimant did not have a good-cause reason for the refusal.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did decline an offer of work because she was not available.

Iowa Code section 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.23(22) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(22) Where a claimant does not want to earn enough wages during the year to adversely affect receipt of federal old-age benefits (social security).

The offer may have been suitable, but the reason for the failure to accept the work was because claimant is not available for work. Therefore, claimant is not eligible for the period from May 8, 2006. Benefits are withheld until such time as claimant makes herself available for work.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

The May 23, 2006, reference 02, decision is reversed. Claimant did decline a suitable offer of work and is unavailable for work effective May 8, 2006. Benefits are withheld effective May 8, 2006, until such time as the claimant makes herself available for work. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$415.00.

tkh/kkf/pjs