IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
TOM D HUISMAN Claimant	APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-12447-NT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
ADVANCE SERVICES INC Employer	
	OC: 08/14/11 Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5-1-j - Sought Re-assignment from Temporary Employer

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Advance Services Inc. filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated September 12, 2011, reference 01, that held claimant eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held October 17, 2011. The claimant participated. The employer participated by Ms. Holly Carter, Unemployment Specialist. Employer's Exhibit A was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

At issue is whether the claimant left employment voluntarily by failing to contact the temporary employer within three working days as agreed.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having considered the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Tom Huisman was most recently employed by Advance Services Inc. from May 2, 2011 until May 6, 2011 when he was removed from his most recent assignment at Simpco Company, at the client's request. Mr. Huisman was paid by the hour. His contact person at Advance Services was Rochille Lopez.

On May 7, 2011, Mr. Huisman was informed by his supervisor at the Simpco Company that his services were no longer needed at that client location. The claimant did not report to Advance Services that his assignment had ended at that time and did not contact Advance Services until May 13, 2011.

On April 27, 2011, Mr. Huisman signed an agreement to contact the temporary employment within three working days to inform them when an assignment had ended. Under the terms of agreement it is considered that the claimant would have voluntarily quit employment if he failed to contact the temporary employer within three working days after his most recent assignment ended. The agreement further indicated that the claimant's failure to follow the three working

day rule could affect the claimant's eligibility for unemployment insurance benefits. (See Exhibit A).

Mr. Huisman agrees that he signed the contract to re-contact the temporary employment service within three working days after an assignment had ended, but that he had forgotten to do so.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. In this case the claimant gave the employer no notice of his availability and therefore is considered to have quit the employment.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated September 12, 2011, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, providing that he is otherwise eligible. The issue of whether the claimant must repay unemployment insurance benefits is remanded to the UIS Division for determination.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css