IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARIA D RUIZ

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-06620-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WELLS DAIRY INC

Employer

OC: 11-11-07 R: 12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury 871 IAC 24.25(35) – Separation Due to Illness or Injury Iowa Code § 96.4-3 - Able and Available

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the July 16, 2008, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on August 5, 2008. The claimant did participate through the interpretation of Isaua Broste. The employer did participate through Alfredo Moreno, O.C. Generalist, and was represented by Josh Burrows of TALX UC express.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit her employment without good cause attributable to the employer?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a production worker, full-time, beginning June 17, 1993, through June 19, 2008, when she voluntarily quit.

The claimant sustained a non-work-related back injury. She wanted to be assigned to a different position in the plant, in order to accommodate her pain and her non-work-related restrictions, but the employer would not do so because they do not accommodate non-work-related injury work restrictions. The claimant quit instead of continuing to work. Continued work was available for her.

At hearing, the claimant was clear that she does not believe she is physically capable of working currently because she is unable to stand for more than one hour. She is not able to lift more than 15 pounds nor is she allowed to lift her arms too high or to stretch too far. She was not instructed by any physician to quit her job.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily quit her employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

Claimant has not been released to return to full work duties and the employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work-related medical condition. Accordingly, the separation is without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective June 19, 2008.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and

the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

871 IAC 24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

Inasmuch as the injury was not work-related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work, the claimant has not established ability to work. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work.

DECISION:

The July 16, 2008, reference 01, decision is affirmed. Claimant's separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as claimant works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective June 19, 2008. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work.

| Teresa K. Hillary | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Administrative Law Judge | |
| | |
| | |
| Desision Detail and Mailed | |
| Decision Dated and Mailed | |