IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MARY L COOPER Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-11352-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL INC Employer

> OC: 09/11/16 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able and Available Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the October 6, 2016, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 3, 2016. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate. Department's Exhibit D-1 was entered and received into the record.

ISSUEs:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision denying the claimant benefits was mailed to her on October 6, 2016. The decision warned the claimant that an appeal was due by October 16, 2016. Claimant went to her local office on October 11 or 12 and provided her appeal to the decision finding her not able to and available for work. That appeal was not transferred to the appeals bureau until October 18. The claimant filed a timely appeal.

The claimant underwent rotator cuff surgery in June 2016. She did not file her claim for benefits until after her surgeon had released to her to perform some type of light duty work. By the time the claimant was released to work with work restrictions, she had been separated from her employment with her last employer. The claimant continues to look for work within her work restrictions and expects to be released to work without restrictions by her physician at her next doctor appointment on November 8, 2016.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the claimant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5. except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, both subsection 5.

The claimant filed a timely appeal at her local office. The local office did not timely transfer the appeal to the appeal bureau. See *Smith v. lowa Employment Security Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973). Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is able to work and available for work effective September 11, 2016.

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

Since the employment ended in June 2016, claimant is no longer obligated to return to employer upon her medical release to offer her services. At that point, her ability to work is not measured by the job she held most recently, but by standards of education, training, and work history. Since she has performed sedentary jobs within the work history, she is considered able to work even if she cannot yet return to a job like as most recently performed for employer. Thus claimant is considered as able to work as of September 13, 2016.

Claimant is on notice that she must conduct at least two work searches per week and file weekly claims in order to retain eligibility for benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated October 6, 2016, (reference 02) is reversed. The claimant is able to work and available for work effective September 11, 2016. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed