IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CLAIRE M CHRISTENSEN Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-14355-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CITY OF FAIRFIELD Employer

> OC: 05/17/20 Claimant: Claimant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal Iowa Code § 96.19(38)B – Total, Partial, Temporary Unemployment Iowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) – Same Base Period Employment Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Same Hours and Wages

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the August 3, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that found claimant was not eligible for unemployment benefits because she was still working in the same hours and same wages as her original contract of hire. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 14, 2021. The claimant participated personally. Calvin Todd and Jenny Christensen participated as witnesses for the claimant. The employer did not participate. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records. The hearing was consolidated with Appeal No. 21A-UI-14356-DB-T and 21A-UI-17142-DB-T.

ISSUES:

Is the appeal considered timely?

- Is the claimant eligible for total, partial, or temporary unemployment benefits?
- Is claimant employed for the same hours and wages?
- Is the claimant able to and available for work?
- Is the employer's account subject to charges?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision dated August 3, 2020 (reference 01) was mailed to the claimant's address of record. It had an appeal deadline of August 13, 2020 and found that the claimant was not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits as she was still employed with this employer for the same hours and same wages as her original contract of hire. Claimant never received this decision in the mail. Claimant filed an appeal on June 21, 2021 when she received another decision regarding overpayment of benefits in the mail and this appeal to the August 3, 2020 denial decision was docketed as well.

Claimant started working for this employer as a part-time seasonal lifeguard approximately six years ago. Claimant works at the indoor and outdoor pools. Her part-time hours vary based on

business needs and her availability to work. Claimant filed her original claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of May 17, 2020. At that time, she was employed with three separate employers. Her position with Chrisbro III was an on-call banquet server. Her position with Fairfield Community School was an on-call substitute associate and she worked for this employer seasonally as a lifeguard as she was attending college.

This employer's lifeguarding season runs from approximately May through September each year; however, it has an indoor pool that the claimant would lifeguard at on occasion. Claimant filed weekly-continued claims for benefits for each week from May 17, 2020 through July 11, 2020. Claimant reported earning wages for each weekly-continued claim filed except the first week-ending May 23, 2020. Claimant's wages earned were from her employment with this employer working either the indoor pool or the outdoor pool when it was opened for a reduced schedule.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

The first issue is whether the claimant's appeal shall be considered timely. The administrative law judge finds that it shall.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disgualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of § 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to § 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5. subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to § 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v.*

Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev., 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

(2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.

b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.

c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.

d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

In this case, the claimant never received the decision denying her benefits in the mail. She filed her appeal on June 21, 2021, promptly after receiving another decision stating she was overpaid benefits. As such, the appeal shall be considered timely due to U.S. postal service action in not delivering the initial denial decision to the claimant.

The next issue is whether the claimant was still employed at the same hours and same wages as her original contract of hire. The administrative law judge finds that she was.

lowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. *This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", subparagraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3, are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".*

(emphasis added).

lowa Code § 96.19(38)b provides:

As used in this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

38. "Total and partial unemployment".

a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.

b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:

(1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

(2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work, or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2)a provides:

Contribution rates based on benefit experience.

a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.

(a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(26) Where a claimant is still employed in a part-time job at the same hours and wages as contemplated in the original contract for hire and is not working on a reduced workweek basis different from the contract for hire, such claimant cannot be considered partially unemployed.

In this case, the claimant was not guaranteed a certain number of hours each week in her position as a seasonal part-time lifeguard. Because claimant was hired to work only part-time

hours, was not guaranteed full-time hours, and the wage history consists of only part-time wages, the claimant is not considered to be unemployed within the meaning of the law.

When an individual is hired to work part-time, the implied agreement is that full-time work will not be regularly available. Thus, since the employer continues to provide the same employment and the claimant is currently employed under the same hours and wages as contemplated when she was hired, she is not considered partially unemployed as claimant's only other employment in her base period consisted of on-call hours. Benefits are denied effective May 17, 2020.

DECISION:

The appeal shall be considered timely. The August 3, 2020 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant was not totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed as she was still employed in her part-time job for the same hours and wages as her original contract of hire. Benefits are denied effective May 17, 2021.

Dawn. Morucher

Dawn Boucher Administrative Law Judge

September 20, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

db/mh

Note to Claimant

- This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of lowa under state law. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If you do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of lowa under state law, you may qualify for benefits under the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Assistance ("PUA") section of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("Cares Act") that discusses eligibility for claimants who are unemployed due to the Coronavirus.
- You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. For additional information on how to apply for PUA go to: <u>https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information</u>. If you have applied and have been approved for PUA benefits, this decision will **not** negatively affect your entitlement to PUA benefits.

ATTENTION: On May 11, 2021, Governor Reynolds announced that lowa will end its participation in federal pandemic-related unemployment benefit programs effective June 12, 2021. The last payable week for PUA in lowa will be the week ending June 12, 2021. PUA claims may be backdated prior to June 12, 2021 depending on eligibility requirements. Additional information can be found at: https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/COVID-19