# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**DONNA M SHOEMAKER** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 06A-UI-09559-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**CIGARETTE OUTLET INC** 

Employer

OC: 09/06/06 R: 04 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Donna Shoemaker (claimant) appealed a representative's September 26, 2006 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits after she voluntarily quit work with Cigarette Outlet (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on October 11, 2006. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Debra Schnyder, Supervisor.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer or was discharged for misconduct.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on June 11, 2002, as a full-time manager. On August 31, 2006, the employer told the claimant that the claimant's husband would not be allowed on the property. The employer thought the husband looked like a biker and would not allow him in the parking lot. The claimant was upset with the reprimand and gave her resignation. On September 1, 2006, the employer told the claimant she was released from work.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer. Prior to her last day of work the employer discharged the claimant but has not proven misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

## 871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

# 871 IAC 24.25(38) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(38) Where the claimant gave the employer an advance notice of resignation which caused the employer to discharge the claimant prior to the proposed date of resignation, no disqualification shall be imposed from the last day of work until the proposed date of resignation; however, benefits will be denied effective the proposed date of resignation.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. <u>Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The employer has not met its burden of proof to show job-related misconduct. The claimant was terminated after giving notice of her resignation. The claimant is eligible to receive benefits until the date of her resignation.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(28) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by her words and actions. She told the employer that she was leaving in two weeks by writing a resignation letter. When an employee quits work after having been reprimanded, her leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work after she was reprimanded. Her leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after her resignation. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits any benefits during the week in which her separation was effective.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's September 26, 2006 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant is qualified to receive benefits, provided she is otherwise eligible, until September 9, 2006. After that, the claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	