IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CURT B SERFLING

Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-07467-JP-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CITY LAUNDERING CO

Employer

OC: 06/12/16

Claimant: Respondent (4)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quitting

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the July 1, 2016, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on July 26, 2016. Claimant participated. Employer participated through human resources generalist Amanda Genthe and supervisor Melinda Fratzke. Jon Cornick registered on behalf of the employer, but he did not attend the hearing.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to the employer or did employer discharge the claimant for reasons related to job misconduct sufficient to warrant a denial of benefits?

Has the claimant been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

Can charges to the employer's account be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a production worker from September 14, 2014, and was separated from employment on June 16, 2016.

Prior to June 16, 2016, claimant had gotten help on certain jobs when he got busy. Claimant quit because he had requested help on a job, but was told that the job was a one person job and he would not get help anymore. Claimant also quit because he did not know if he was going to get a pay raise. Claimant was getting paid on time.

On June 16, 2016, claimant approached Ms. Fratzke and told her he was putting in his two-week notice and his last day of work would be June 30, 2016. Claimant had wanted additional help on one of his jobs and he was frustrated that he was not given any help. The job was capable of being done by one person. Claimant had done the job by himself on numerous occasions. Ms. Fratzke accepted claimant's resignation on behalf of the employer.

After the employer accepted claimant's resignation, the employer determined that claimant did not need to continue to work for the employer. The employer does not require two weeks' notice if an employee is going to quit. The employer then made the decision to have claimant's effective date be June 16, 2016. When the employer told claimant his resignation was going to be effective that day (June 16, 2016), he asked if he was being fired. Ms. Genthe told him no, the resignation was just effective that day. Claimant then because irritated and refused to sign any paperwork. Ms. Genthe told claimant that the employer did not have the work volume to necessitate a two-week notice.

There was work available for claimant had he not resigned.

The administrative record reflects that claimant has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$1,405.00, since filing a claim with an effective date of June 12, 2016, for the six weeks ending July 23, 2016. The administrative record also reflects that claimant has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$843.00, for the three weeks after the week ending July 2, 2016. Claimant received the total amount of \$843.00 for the weeks ending July 9, 2016, July 16, 2016, and July 23, 2016. The administrative record establishes that the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer, but was discharged for no disqualifying reason prior to the intended resignation date.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(22), (37) and (38) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (22) The claimant left because of a personality conflict with the supervisor.
- (37) The claimant will be considered to have left employment voluntarily when such claimant gave the employer notice of an intention to resign and the employer accepted such resignation. This rule shall also apply to the claimant who was employed by an educational institution who has declined or refused to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of work for a successive academic term or year and the offer of work was within the purview of the individual's training and experience.
- (38) Where the claimant gave the employer an advance notice of resignation which caused the employer to discharge the claimant prior to the proposed date of resignation, no disqualification shall be imposed from the last day of work until the proposed date of resignation; however, benefits will be denied effective the proposed date of resignation.

Claimant's decision to quit because he did not agree with the employer's decision to not provide him help when he was busy was not a good cause reason attributable to the employer. The

employer has the authority on how to allocate resources, including employees. The job claimant requested help on was capable of being handled by one person and claimant had handled the job by himself previously. Claimant's decision to quit because he did not know when or if he would get a raise is also not a good cause reason attributable to the employer. Claimant was getting paid on time and the employer has the authority to determine if an employee should be eligible for a raise or not.

On June 16, 2016, claimant informed the employer he was resigning effective June 30, 2016. Claimant intended to work his remaining two weeks (through June 30, 2016); however, the employer did not allow claimant to work the remaining two weeks and thus discharged him on June 16, 2016.

Because the discharge was in response to a resignation notice no misconduct is established. Since the employer terminated the employment relationship in advance of the resignation notice effective date, claimant is entitled to benefits from the date of termination until the effective date of the proposed resignation. Benefits are allowed until July 2, 2016.

Iowa Code § 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

- (1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.
- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Although claimant was discharged by the employer prior to his resignation effective date, he is only eligible for unemployment benefits from June 12, 2016 until July 2, 2016. Claimant is not eligible for unemployment benefits after July 2, 2016, because his voluntarily quit was without good cause attributable to the employer. Claimant has been paid unemployment benefits in the amount of \$843.00 for three weeks after the week ending July 2, 2016. Because the claimant's voluntary quit was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which he was not entitled. unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined that they did participate in the fact-finding interview. Iowa Code § 96.3(7), Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. Since the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview the claimant is obligated to repay to the agency the benefits he received and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

jp/pjs

The July 1, 2016, (reference 01) decision is modified in favor of the appellant. Claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer, but was discharged prior to the resignation effective date. Benefits are allowed until July 2, 2016. Thereafter, benefits are withheld until such time as claimant works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$843.00 and is obligated to repay the agency those benefits. The employer did participate in the fact-finding interview and its account shall not be charged.

Jeremy Peterson Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	