IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TIANNA STREETER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI03495-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

REM IOWA COMMUNITY SERVICES INC

Employer

Original Claim: 01/11/09 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-d - Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury 871 IAC 24.25(35) - Separation Due to Illness or Injury Iowa Code § 96.4-3 - Able and Available for Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tianna Streeter (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated February 26, 2009, reference 02, which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit her employment with REM Community Services, Inc. (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on March 31, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Brenda Isenberger, Program Director. Claimant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired as a full-time program/unit coordinator on August 1, 2006 and worked through June 16, 2008, when she was unable to work due to a non-work-related medical condition. She returned to work for two days ending September 21, 2008 and was again taken off work by her treating physician beginning September 22, 2008. The claimant was approved for leave under the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) beginning September 23, 2008. Her FMLA expired on October 15, 2008 and the employer gave her an extended 30-day personal leave of absence, which expired on November 14, 2008.

The employer sent the claimant a letter on November 12, 2008 advising her that she needed to inform the employer of her intentions to return to work by November 15, 2008. The claimant went to the office on November 13, 2008 and provided a medical excuse that stated she was

unable to go back to work and her return-to-work date could not be predicted. She told Program Director Brenda Isenberger that she was moving to Burlington and enrolling in school. The claimant removed most, if not all, of her personal property from her office. The employer sent the claimant another letter on November 14, 2008, which advised her that her employment was being terminated since she was unable to return to work.

The claimant was released to return to work on December 15, 2008 without restrictions. She did not return to her employer to offer her services.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue to be determined is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer or if the employer discharged her for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5-1 and 96.5-2-a. The claimant contends she was discharged from employment, but the facts show the separation was initiated by her and not the employer. A leave of absence negotiated with the consent of both parties, employer and employee, is deemed a period of voluntary unemployment for the employee-individual, and the individual is considered ineligible for benefits for the period. 871 IAC 24.22(2)(j). If at the end of a period of negotiated leave of absence the employer fails to reemploy the employee-individual, the individual is considered laid off and eligible for benefits. 871 IAC 24.22(2)(j)(1). On the other hand, if the employee-individual fails to return at the end of the leave of absence and subsequently becomes unemployed, the individual is considered as having voluntarily quit and therefore is ineligible for benefits. 871 IAC 24.22(j)(2).

The evidence in the record establishes that the claimant did, in fact, fail to return to the employment at the end of the leave of absence. Accordingly, the separation from the employment is deemed a voluntary quit and claimant is disqualified for benefits unless the quit is found to be for good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer

has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The claimant went on a medical leave of absence due to a non-work related illness and did not return to work at the end of her leave of absence. She would only be eligible for benefits if her position were not available to her after her recovery. A "recovery" under lowa Code § 96.5-1-d means a complete recovery without restriction. White v. Employment Appeal Board, 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Hedges v. lowa Department of Job Service, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (lowa App. 1985). The claimant was released to return to work without restrictions on December 15, 2008 but has not returned to the employer to offer her services. Accordingly, the separation is without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 26, 2009, reference 02, is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
sda/kjw	