

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

TRAVIS D NAUMAN
604 S DIVISION
CRESTON IA 50801

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-00035-CT
OC: 11/02/03 R: 03
Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 871IAC24.2(1)e – Reporting Requirements

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Travis Nauman filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated December 29, 2003, reference 03, which denied benefits effective December 7, 2003 on a finding that he failed to report to his local office as directed. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on January 26, 2004. Mr. Nauman participated personally and was assisted by his mother, Rhonda Nauman.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having reviewed all the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mr. Nauman was mailed a notice to report to his local office on December 10, 2003 to register for work. He did not report as directed and did not contact his local office until December 30. He registered for work at that time. In a decision dated January 2, 2004, reference 04, Mr. Nauman has been allowed benefits effective December 28, 2003.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

At issue in this matter is whether Mr. Nauman had good cause for not reporting to his local office as directed and as required by 871 IAC 24.2(1)e. He forgot the scheduled meeting and neglected to call Workforce Development. The fact that he forgot the meeting does not establish good cause. Therefore, the decision denying benefits effective December 7, 2003 was proper. Benefits have been reinstated as of the week in which Mr. Nauman reported to his local office to register for work.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated December 29, 2003, reference 03, is hereby affirmed. Mr. Nauman is not eligible to receive benefits from December 7 through December 27, 2003 because he did not establish good cause for failing to report to his local office as directed.

cfc/s